

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline:** **Rolfing**
 2
 3 **Date of Implementation:** **July 13, 2006**
 4
 5 **Product:** **Specialty**
 6

7
8 **GUIDELINES**

9 American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers Rolfing medically necessary as a
10 form of soft tissue mobilization for treatment of soft tissues including muscle and fascia.

11
12 **DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

13 Rolfing is the popular name used for structural integration, a manual therapy of bodywork
 14 that is based on the idea that human function is improved when the segments of the body
 15 are properly aligned. This concept assumes that over time the use of incorrect postures and
 16 body alignments when walking, sitting, or doing other activities results in changes in the
 17 fascia; it is these changes that result in pain and tension in the body. Fascia is connective
 18 tissue mostly made of collagen that covers every muscle, bone, vessel, nerve, and organ in
 19 the body. Additionally, in structural integration, fascia plays a key role in maintaining
 20 posture and proper movement. The proposed goal of Rolfing is to release the body from
 21 these learned patterns of movement that are causing the dysfunction and pain. Proponents
 22 of Rolfing purport that through soft tissue manipulation via deep tissue massage and
 23 myofascial release, a therapist can reorganize the fascia to allow the muscles to relax. The
 24 aim of Rolfing is to integrate parts of the body such that the alignment of the joints results
 25 in the most efficient movement within the earth’s gravitational force. It is claimed that a
 26 general Rolfing intervention realigns the patient’s body and the practitioner prescribes new
 27 modes of movement. Rolfing practitioners use pressure applied by the fingers, knuckles,
 28 and elbows to break the bonds of the fascia so that they can be reorganized.

29
 30 The basic certification process for Rolfing in the U.S. takes place at the Rolf Institute of
 31 Structural Integration in Boulder, Colorado. The process takes one to two years
 32 (approximately 700 hours), at the end of which one achieves basic certification as a Rolfer.
 33 For advanced certification, more training is required.

34
 35 Rolfing (structural integration) was developed by Dr. Ida Rolf in the 1950s. Dr. Rolf
 36 received her PhD in biological chemistry from Columbia University in 1920 and then
 37 worked as a researcher at the Rockefeller Institute throughout the 1920s. She became
 38 interested in the human body after observing those around her suffer from pain syndromes
 39 that modern medicine could not cure. She gained her first exposure to manipulation when
 40 she was treated by an osteopathic physician for a respiratory condition, whereupon the
 41 doctor performed manipulations to reposition a rib that had been displaced from an earlier
 42 injury. However, she disagreed with what she saw as an osteopathic emphasis on solely

1 freeing joint restrictions, as she felt this left out the crucial role of soft tissue in body
 2 functioning. Dr. Rolf also familiarized herself with chiropractic, the Alexander technique,
 3 and the Feldenkrais method.

4
 5 In the 1950s, Dr. Rolf used these concepts to create a ten-session sequence of
 6 manipulations she called structural integration. In 1971, she founded the Rolf Institute of
 7 Structural Integration, electing some of her students to teach and carry out her work
 8 through this institute.

9 10 **EVIDENCE REVIEW**

11 Two small randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were undertaken in 1988 by Cottingham
 12 et al. to evaluate patients with pelvic tilt. The first study (Cottingham, Porges, & Lyon,
 13 1988) examined the use of Rolfing pelvic lift on parasympathetic tone. The outcome
 14 measure for this study was the amplitude of the respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA). The
 15 pelvic lift is a soft tissue manipulation involving a combination of posterior tilting and
 16 pelvic traction with moderate pressure to the epigastrium. Their results showed that the
 17 pelvic lift technique produced significant autonomic nervous system response in younger
 18 patients. The second study (Cottingham, Porges, & Richmond, 1988) examined the impact
 19 of Rolfing soft tissue manipulation on healthy men with a pelvic tilt, and once again their
 20 outcome measure was the amplitude of the RSA.

21
 22 One systematic review of the literature on Rolfing and Rolfing techniques was undertaken
 23 by Jones (2004). She examined both Cottingham's RCTs as well as the case series by Perry
 24 et al. Jones points out that the clinical significance of RSA amplitude and vagal tone
 25 (outcome measures for the Cottingham & Porges studies) has not been established in the
 26 medical literature. As such the results of these two RCTs are limited. In addition, she points
 27 out the lack of (and need for) clinical studies on Rolfing in a symptomatic population
 28 examining clinically relevant outcomes such as pain.

29
 30 James et al. (2009) investigated the effect of rolfing structural integration (RSI) in neck
 31 motion and pain levels of 31 subjects who received RSI. This investigation demonstrated
 32 that the basic 10 sessions of RSI, when applied by a physical therapist with advanced RSI
 33 certification, is capable of significantly decreasing pain and increasing AROM in adult
 34 subjects, male and female, with complaints of cervical spine dysfunction regardless of age.
 35 Jacobson (2011) reviewed the clinical practice of Structural Integration (SI), an alternative
 36 method of soft-tissue manipulation and sensorimotor education, and to summarize the
 37 evidence to date for mechanism and clinical efficacy. According to the author, limited
 38 preliminary evidence exists for improvements in neuromotor coordination, sensory
 39 processing, self-concept and vagal tone, and for reductions in state anxiety. Preliminary,
 40 small sample clinical studies with cerebral palsy, chronic musculoskeletal pain, impaired
 41 balance, and chronic fatigue syndrome have reported improvements in gait, pain and range-
 42 of-motion, impaired balance, functional status, and well-being. Adverse events are thought

1 to be mild and transient, although survey data are not available. Contraindications are
2 thought to be the same as for massage. The author concludes that evidence for clinical
3 effectiveness and hypothesized mechanisms is severely limited by small sample sizes and
4 absence of control arms, thus more adequate research is warranted.

5
6 There was one case series on Rolfing (Perry et al., 1981) that demonstrated Rolfing's
7 efficacious effects on the walking and gait abilities of children with cerebral palsy. They
8 showed that Rolfing led to improved performance in range of motion for the hip, knee, and
9 ankle for those with mild cerebral palsy. Hansen et al. (2014) aimed to document gait
10 characteristics of two children with cerebral palsy and the effects of myofascial structural
11 integration (Rolfing) on gait patterns. Children received 3 months of weekly therapy
12 sessions by an experienced practitioner. Gait parameters were recorded at baseline and
13 after treatment using an electronic walkway. Children with cerebral palsy demonstrated
14 abnormal velocity and cadence, decreased step length and single support times, and
15 increased double support time. After treatment, both children demonstrated improvement
16 for 3 months in cadence and double support time. The objective gait analyses demonstrated
17 temporary improvements after myofascial structural integration in children with spastic
18 cerebral palsy. These outcomes resulted in another study. Loi et al. (2015) examined
19 myofascial structural integration therapy on gross motor function and gait of young
20 children with spastic cerebral palsy in a RCT. Participants ($N=29$) were enrolled in a
21 randomized controlled trial. The main outcome was the Gross Motor Function Measure-
22 66 assessed at 3-month intervals. Gait ($n=8$) was assessed using the GAITRite®
23 electronic walkway. Parents completed a survey at study conclusion. Comparing
24 Treatment ($n=15$) and Waitlist-Control groups ($n=9$), authors found a significant main
25 effect of time but no effect of group or time \times group interaction. The pooled sample ($n=27$)
26 showed a main effect of time, but no significantly greater change after treatment than
27 between other assessments. Foot length on the affected side increased significantly after
28 treatment, likely indicating improvement in the children's ability to approach a heel strike.
29 Parent surveys indicated satisfaction and improvements in the children's quality of
30 movement. MSI did not increase the rate of motor skill development but was associated
31 with improvement in gait quality.

32 33 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

34 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their
35 education training and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may
36 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner
37 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services.

38
39 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a patient only if
40 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared
41 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently

1 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and expert training, it
2 would be best practice to refer the patient to the more expert practitioner.

3
4 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or
5 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a
6 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular
7 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
8 for Hospitals, 2020).

9
10 Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's
11 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the
12 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent
13 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their
14 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as
15 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice
16 guideline for information.

17 **References**

18 Bernau-Eigen, M. (1998). Rolfing: a somatic approach to the integration of human
19 structures. *Nurse Practitioner Forum*, 9(4), 235-242.

20
21
22 Cottingham, J. T., Porges, S. W., & Lyon, T. (1988). Effects of soft tissue mobilization
23 (Rolfing pelvic lift) on parasympathetic tone in two age groups. *Physical Therapy*,
24 68(3), 352-356.

25
26 Cottingham, J. T., Porges, S. W., & Richmond, K. (1988). Shifts in pelvic inclination angle
27 and parasympathetic tone produced by Rolfing soft tissue manipulation. *Physical*
28 *Therapy*, 68(9), 1364-1370.

29
30 Hansen AB, Price KS, Loi EC, Buysse CA, Jaramillo TM, Pico EL, Feldman HM. Gait
31 changes following myofascial structural integration (Rolfing) observed in 2 children
32 with cerebral palsy. *J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med*. 2014 Oct;19(4):297-
33 300.

34
35 Jacobson E. Structural integration, an alternative method of manual therapy and
36 sensorimotor education. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2011 Oct;17(10):891-9.

37
38 James H, Castaneda L, Miller ME, Findley T. Rolfing structural integration treatment of
39 cervical spine dysfunction. *J Bodyw Mov Ther*. 2009 Jul;13(3):229-38.

40
41 Jones, T. A. (2004). Rolfing. *Physical Medicine Rehabilitation Clinics of North America*,
42 15(4), 799-809, vi.

1 Loi EC, Buysse CA, Price KS, Jaramillo TM, Pico EL, Hansen AB, Feldman HM.
2 Myofascial Structural Integration Therapy on Gross Motor Function and Gait of Young
3 Children with Spastic Cerebral Palsy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Front Pediatr.*
4 2015 Sep 10;3:74.

5
6 Medical News Today. Rolfing therapy: Technique, benefits, and more. July 29, 2022.
7 Retrieved on October 25, 2023 from
8 <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/rolfing>

9
10 Nansel, D., & Szlazak, M. (1995). Somatic dysfunction and the phenomenon of visceral
11 disease simulation: a probable explanation for the apparent effectiveness of somatic
12 therapy in patients presumed to be suffering from true visceral disease. *Journal of*
13 *Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics*, 18(6), 379-397.

14
15 Perry, J., Jones, M. H., & Thomas, L. (1981). Functional evaluation of Rolfing in cerebral
16 palsy. *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology*, 23(6), 717-729.

17
18 The Goldberg Burton Group. (1994). *Alternative Medicine: The Definitive Guide.*
19 Puyallup: Future Medicine Publishing.

20
21 Weinberg, R. S., & Hunt, V. V. (1979). Effects of structural integration on state-trait
22 anxiety. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 35(2), 319-322.

23 24 **Other Resources**

25 The Rolf Institute of Structural Integration Website: <https://www.rolf.org/rolfing.php>