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GUIDELINE

American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers Rolfing medically necessary as a form of soft tissue mobilization for treatment of soft tissues including muscle and fascia.

Description/Background

Rolfing is the popular name used for structural integration, a manual therapy of bodywork that is based on the idea that human function is improved when the segments of the body are properly aligned. This concept assumes that over time the use of incorrect postures and body alignments when walking, sitting, or doing other activities results in changes in the fascia; it is these changes that result in pain and tension in the body. Fascia is connective tissue mostly made of collagen that covers every muscle, bone, vessel, nerve, and organ in the body. Additionally, in structural integration, fascia plays a key role in maintaining posture and proper movement. The proposed goal of Rolfing is to release the body from these learned patterns of movement that are causing the dysfunction and pain. Proponents of Rolfing purport that through soft tissue manipulation via deep tissue massage and myofascial release, a therapist can reorganize the fascia to allow the muscles to relax. The aim of Rolfing is to integrate parts of the body such that the alignment of the joints results in the most efficient movement within the earth’s gravitational force. It is claimed that, a general Rolfing intervention realigns the patient’s body and the practitioner prescribes new modes of movement. Rolfing practitioners use pressure applied by the fingers, knuckles, and elbows to break the bonds of the fascia so that they can be reorganized.

The basic certification process for Rolfing in the U.S. takes place at the Rolf Institute of Structural Integration in Boulder, Colorado. The process takes one to two years (approximately 700 hours), at the end of which one achieves basic certification as a Rolfer. For advanced certification, more training is required.

Rolfing (structural integration) was developed by Dr. Ida Rolf in the 1950s. Dr. Rolf received her PhD in biological chemistry from Columbia University in 1920 and then worked as a researcher at the Rockefeller Institute throughout the 1920s. She became interested in the human body after observing those around her suffer from pain syndromes that modern medicine could not cure. She gained her first exposure to manipulation when she was treated by an osteopathic physician for a respiratory condition, whereupon the doctor performed manipulations to reposition a rib that had
been displaced from an earlier injury. However, she disagreed with what she saw as an osteopathic emphasis on solely freeing joint restrictions, as she felt this left out the crucial role of soft tissue in body functioning. Dr. Rolf also familiarized herself with chiropractic, the Alexander technique, and the Feldenkrais method.

In the 1950s, Dr. Rolf used these concepts to create a ten-session sequence of manipulations she called structural integration. In 1971, she founded the Rolf Institute of Structural Integration, electing some of her students to teach and carry out her work through this institute.

**Evidence and Research**

Two small randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were undertaken in 1988 by Cottingham et al. to evaluate patients with pelvic tilt. The first study (Cottingham, Porges, & Lyon, 1988) examined the use of Rolfing pelvic lift on parasympathetic tone. The outcome measure for this study was the amplitude of the respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA). The pelvic lift is a soft tissue manipulation involving a combination of posterior tilting and pelvic traction with moderate pressure to the epigastrum. Their results showed that the pelvic lift technique produced significant autonomic nervous system response in younger patients. The second study (Cottingham, Porges, & Richmond, 1988) examined the impact of Rolfing soft tissue manipulation on healthy men with a pelvic tilt, and once again their outcome measure was the amplitude of the RSA.

One systematic review of the literature on Rolfing and Rolfing techniques was undertaken by Jones (2004). She examined both Cottingham’s RCTs as well as the case series by Perry et al. Jones points out that the clinical significance of RSA amplitude and vagal tone (outcome measures for the Cottingham & Porges studies) has not been established in the medical literature. As such the results of these two RCTs are limited. In addition, she points out the lack of (and need for) clinical studies on Rolfing in a symptomatic population examining clinically relevant outcomes such as pain.

James et al. (2009) investigated the effect of rolfing structural integration (RSI) in neck motion and pain levels of 31 subjects who received RSI. This investigation demonstrated that the basic 10 sessions of RSI, when applied by a physical therapist with advanced RSI certification, is capable of significantly decreasing pain and increasing AROM in adult subjects, male and female, with complaints of cervical spine dysfunction regardless of age. Jacobson (2011) reviewed the clinical practice of Structural Integration (SI), an alternative method of soft-tissue manipulation and sensorimotor education, and to summarize the evidence to date for mechanism and clinical efficacy. According to the author, limited preliminary evidence exists for improvements in neuromotor coordination, sensory processing, self-concept and vagal tone, and for reductions in state anxiety. Preliminary, small sample clinical studies with cerebral palsy, chronic musculoskeletal pain, impaired balance, and chronic fatigue syndrome have reported...
improvements in gait, pain and range-of-motion, impaired balance, functional status, and well-being. Adverse events are thought to be mild and transient, although survey data are not available. Contraindications are thought to be the same as for massage. The author concludes that evidence for clinical effectiveness and hypothesized mechanisms is severely limited by small sample sizes and absence of control arms, thus more adequate research in warranted.

There was one case series on Rolfing (Perry et al., 1981) that demonstrated Rolfing’s efficacious effects on the walking and gait abilities of children with cerebral palsy. They showed that Rolfing led to improved performance in range of motion for the hip, knee, and ankle for those with mild cerebral palsy. Hansen et al. (2014) aimed to document gait characteristics of two children with cerebral palsy and the effects of myofascial structural integration (Rolfing) on gain patterns. Children received 3 months of weekly therapy sessions by an experienced practitioner. Gait parameters were recorded at baseline and after treatment using an electronic walkway. Children with cerebral palsy demonstrated abnormal velocity and cadence, decreased step length and single support times, and increased double support time. After treatment, both children demonstrated improvement for 3 months in cadence and double support time. The objective gait analyses demonstrated temporary improvements after myofascial structural integration in children with spastic cerebral palsy. These outcomes resulted in another study. Loi et al. (2015) examined myofascial structural integration therapy on gross motor function and gait of young children with spastic cerebral palsy: in a RCT. Participants (N = 29) were enrolled in a randomized controlled trial. The main outcome was the Gross Motor Function Measure-66 assessed at 3-month intervals. Gait (n = 8) was assessed using the GAITRite® electronic walkway. Parents completed a survey at study conclusion. Comparing Treatment (n = 15) and Waitlist-Control groups (n = 9), authors found a significant main effect of time but no effect of group or time × group interaction. The pooled sample (n = 27) showed a main effect of time, but no significantly greater change after treatment than between other assessments. Foot length on the affected side increased significantly after treatment, likely indicating improvement in the children's ability to approach a heel strike. Parent surveys indicated satisfaction and improvements in the children's quality of movement. MSI did not increase the rate of motor skill development but was associated with improvement in gait quality.
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**Other Resources**
The Rolf Institute of Structural Integration Website: https://www.rolf.org/rolfing.php