

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline: Rigid Total Contact Leg Cast**

2

3 **Date of Implementation: June 18, 2015**

4

5 **Product: Specialty**

6

7

8 **GUIDELINES**

9 American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers the use of total contact cast (CPT
10 Code 29445) may be medically necessary for the following:

- 11 • **Complication of diabetes, as indicated by 1 or more of the following:**
 - 12 ○ Charcot foot (includes diabetes mellitus with neuropathic arthropathy) (A52.16,
 - 13 E08.610, E09.610, E10.610, E11.610, E13.610, M14.671 - M14.679, M14.69)
 - 14 ○ Plantar diabetic foot ulcer (includes atherosclerosis of native arteries and bypass
 - 15 graft of the leg with ulceration of heel and midfoot) (I70.234, I70.244, I70.334,
 - 16 I70.344, I70.434, I70.444, I70.534, I70.544, I70.634, I70.644, I70.734, I70.744,
 - 17 L97.401 - L97.429) that has not responded to medical management (e.g.,
 - 18 dressings, debridement, antibiotics)

19

20 Total contact casting is contraindicated for the following cases:

- 21 • Ischemic conditions of the lower leg and foot (e.g., uncontrolled peripheral vascular
- 22 disease);
- 23 • Active infection or osteomyelitis; or
- 24 • Wounds that have not been properly debrided.

25

CPT Code	CPT Code Description
29445	Application of rigid total contact leg cast

26

27 **BACKGROUND**

28 Foot disorders are a major source of morbidity and a leading cause of hospitalization for
29 persons with diabetes. Ulceration, infection, and Charcot foot are among the serious
30 complications of long-standing diabetes. Diabetic foot ulcers may be classified as
31 neuropathic, ischemic, or neuroischemic. Sensory neuropathy is the most frequent
32 component in the causal sequence to ulceration in diabetic patients. Diabetic
33 neuroarthropathy, or Charcot foot, is a neurologically mediated complication of diabetes,
34 with the development modified by musculoskeletal stress, resulting in osseous
35 fragmentation and joint subluxation with often significant morphologic changes in the
36 architecture of the foot. Complications of the Charcot foot include ulceration under areas
37 of bony prominence and potential amputation often related to infection/osteomyelitis that
38 develops adjacent to the area of ulceration. The ensuing treatment should be directed by
39 the underlying severity of the pathology.

1 The combination of foot deformity, loss of protective sensation, and inadequate off-loading
2 leads to tissue damage and ulceration in the diabetic foot. Standard management of diabetic
3 neuropathic foot ulceration is prevention of infection, aggressive debridement with
4 removal of callus and dead tissue, application of medications or dressings to the ulcer,
5 followed by application of some form of off-loading device to offload the ulcer area with
6 concomitant management of blood glucose levels and other health problems, as
7 recommended by the American Podiatric Medical Association. Most ulcers will heal if
8 pressure is removed from the ulcer site, if the arterial circulation is sufficient and if
9 infection is managed and treated aggressively (Boulton, 2010).

10
11 In Charcot foot, loss of pain and protective sensation render the foot susceptible to repeated
12 injury. The mainstay of management is immediate off-loading, while surgery is usually
13 reserved for chronic cases with irreversible deformities and/or joint instability.

14
15 Total contact casts (TCC) and removable walkers have been shown to be extremely
16 effective in off-loading the diabetic foot, with reported peak pressure reduction in the
17 forefoot of up to 87 percent compared with a control condition. This result may be
18 achieved, among other mechanisms, by limiting ankle motion and redistributing load to the
19 device itself. For these reasons, devices that extend only to the ankle, such as cast shoes
20 and forefoot offloading shoes, may be less effective in off-loading the foot than devices
21 that extend above the ankle (i.e., TCC and walkers). As there are no current means available
22 to completely diminish the effects of neuropathy, the present tenet for treating and
23 preventing deformity is based on the redistribution of pressure.

24
25 The use of a plaster cast to treat neuropathic foot deformities has come to be known as total
26 contact casting because it employs a well-molded, minimally padded cast that maintains
27 contact with the entire plantar surface of the foot and the lower leg. The close fit of the cast
28 material to the plantar surface of the foot increases the plantar weight-bearing surface area
29 to help distribute the pressure from one or two distinct areas to the plantar foot. The TCC
30 is not removable. TCC are considered by most diabetic foot specialists to be the gold
31 standard offloading modality.

32
33 Much of the available evidence on the use of offloading for ulcer treatment is related to the
34 treatment of non-complicated plantar neuropathic foot ulcers. Evidence is scarce on
35 complicated and non-plantar foot ulcers. The treatment of ischemic and/or infected
36 neuropathic ulcers is more difficult than with purely neuropathic ulcers, for which good
37 offloading and debridement often suffice. One study showed that, whereas neuropathic
38 ulcers and mildly infected/ischemic ulcers can be treated effectively with casting (69–90%
39 healing rates), treatment outcome for plantar ulcers that are infected and ischemic is poor
40 (only 36% healing rate). Additional procedures such as antibiotic therapy or
41 revascularization interventions are required to achieve proper healing for these complicated
42 ulcers (Bus, 2012).

1 Diabetes-related lower extremity amputations are typically preceded by a foot ulcer. The
2 patient demographics related to diabetic foot ulceration are typical for patients with long-
3 standing diabetes. Risk factors for ulceration include neuropathy, peripheral arterial
4 disease, foot deformity, limited ankle range of motion, high plantar foot pressures, minor
5 trauma, previous ulceration or amputation, and visual impairment. Once an ulcer has
6 developed, infection and peripheral arterial disease are the major factors contributing to
7 subsequent amputation. The Society for Vascular Surgery in collaboration with the
8 American Podiatric Medical Association and the Society for Vascular Medicine guideline
9 for the treatment of diabetic foot disorders advises using custom therapeutic footwear in
10 high-risk diabetic patients, including those with significant neuropathy, foot deformities,
11 or previous amputation. In patients with plantar diabetic foot ulcer, off-loading with a total
12 contact cast or irremovable fixed ankle walking boot is recommended (Hingorani et al.,
13 2016).

14
15 Severe foot ischemia, a deep abscess, osteomyelitis, and poor skin quality are absolute
16 contraindications to the use of a non-removable total contact cast (Alexiadou et al., 2012).

17 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

18 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their
19 education, training and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may
20 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner
21 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services
22 and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

23
24
25 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if
26 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared
27 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently
28 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be
29 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

30
31 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or
32 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a
33 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular
34 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
35 for Hospitals, 2020).

1 Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's
 2 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the
 3 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent
 4 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their
 5 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as
 6 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice
 7 guideline for information.

9 **References**

- 10 Alexiadou K, Doupis J. Management of diabetic foot ulcers. *Diabetes Ther.* 2012;3(1):4.
 11
 12 American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons (ACFAS) Cosmetic Surgery Position
 13 Statement (2020). Retrieved September 27, 2023 from:
 14 <https://www.acfas.org/policypositionstatements/>
 15
 16 American Medical Association. (current year). *Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)*
 17 *Current year (rev. ed.)*. Chicago: AMA.
 18
 19 Boulton, A. J. (2010). The diabetic foot. *Medicine*, 38(12), 644-648. doi:
 20 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mpmed.2010.08.011>
 21
 22 Boulton, A. J. (2014). Diabetic neuropathy and foot complications. *Handb Clin Neurol*,
 23 126, 97-107. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-444-53480-4.00008-4
 24
 25 Burns, J., & Begg, L. (2011). Optimizing the offloading properties of the total contact cast
 26 for plantar foot ulceration. *Diabet Med*, 28(2), 179-185. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-
 27 5491.2010.03135.x
 28
 29 Bus, S. A. (2012). Priorities in offloading the diabetic foot. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*, 28
 30 *Suppl 1*, 54-59. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.2240
 31
 32 Cavanagh, P. R., & Bus, S. A. (2011). Off-loading the diabetic foot for ulcer prevention
 33 and healing. *Plast Reconstr Surg*, 127 *Suppl 1*, 248S-256S. doi:
 34 10.1097/PRS.0b013e3182024864
 35
 36 Faglia, E., Caravaggi, C., Clerici, G., Sganzeroli, A., Curci, V., Vailati, W., Sommalvico,
 37 F. (2010). Effectiveness of removable walker cast versus nonremovable fiberglass off-
 38 bearing cast in the healing of diabetic plantar foot ulcer: a randomized controlled trial.
 39 *Diabetes Care*, 33(7), 1419-1423. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1708

- 1 Gouveri, E., & Papanas, N. (2011). Charcot osteoarthropathy in diabetes: A brief review
2 with an emphasis on clinical practice. *World J Diabetes*, 2(5), 59-65. doi:
3 10.4239/wjd.v2.i5.59
- 4
- 5 Gutekunst, D. J., Hastings, M. K., Bohnert, K. L., Strube, M. J., & Sinacore, D. R. (2011).
6 Removable cast walker boots yield greater forefoot off-loading than total contact casts.
7 *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 26(6), 649-654. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2011.03.010
- 8
- 9 Healy, A., Naemi, R., & Chockalingam, N. (2014). The effectiveness of footwear and other
10 removable off-loading devices in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers: a systematic
11 review. *Curr Diabetes Rev*, 10(4), 215-230.
- 12
- 13 Hingorani, A., LaMuraglia, G. M., Henke, P., Meissner, M. H., Loretz, L., Zinszer, K. M.,
14 ... & Murad, M. H. (2016). The management of diabetic foot: a clinical practice
15 guideline by the Society for Vascular Surgery in collaboration with the American
16 Podiatric Medical Association and the Society for Vascular Medicine. *Journal of*
17 *vascular surgery*, 63(2), 3S-21S.
- 18
- 19 Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation
20 Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources.
- 21
- 22 Morona, J. K., Buckley, E. S., Jones, S., Reddin, E. A., & Merlin, T. L. (2013). Comparison
23 of the clinical effectiveness of different off-loading devices for the treatment of
24 neuropathic foot ulcers in patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-
25 analysis. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research & Reviews*, 29(3), 183-193. doi:
26 10.1002/dmrr.2386
- 27
- 28 Perrin, B. M., Gardner, M. J., Suhaimi, A., & Murphy, D. (2010). Charcot osteoarthropathy
29 of the foot. *Aust Fam Physician*, 39(3), 117-119.
- 30
- 31 Piaggese, A., Macchiarini, S., Rizzo, L., Palumbo, F., Tedeschi, A., Nobili, L. A., . . . Del
32 Prato, S. (2007). An off-the-shelf instant contact casting device for the management of
33 diabetic foot ulcers: a randomized prospective trial versus traditional fiberglass cast.
34 *Diabetes Care*, 30(3), 586-590.
- 35
- 36 Spohner, P., Kucera, T., Brtkova, J., & Srot, J. (2013). The management of Charcot midfoot
37 deformities in diabetic patients. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)*, 56(1), 3-8.
- 38
- 39 Steed, D. L., Attinger, C., Colaizzi, T., Crossland, M., Franz, M., Harkless, L., . . .
40 Wiersma-Bryant, L. (2006). Guidelines for the treatment of diabetic ulcers. *Wound*
41 *Repair Regen*, 14(6), 680-692. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2006.00176.x

- 1 Vuorisalo, S., Venermo, M., & Lepantalo, M. (2009). Treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. *J*
- 2 *Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)*, 50(3), 275-291.
- 3
- 4 Whitelaw, S. (2012). The total contact cast: controversy in offloading the diabetic foot.
- 5 *British Journal of Community Nursing*, S16-20.