

1    **Clinical Practice Guideline:**      **Rigid Total Contact Leg Cast**  
 2  
 3    **Date of Implementation:**      **June 18, 2015**  
 4  
 5    **Effective Date:**      **December 18, 2025**  
 6  
 7    **Product:**      **Specialty**  
 8

---

## 10    **GUIDELINES**

11    American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) considers the use of total contact cast (CPT®  
 12    Code 29445) may be medically necessary for the following:

- 13    • Complication of diabetes, as indicated **by 1 or more of the following:**
  - 14       ○ Charcot foot (includes diabetes with neuropathic arthropathy) (A52.16,  
   15       E08.610, E09.610, E10.610, E11.610, E13.610, M14.671 - M14.679,  
   16       M14.69)
  - 17       ○ Plantar diabetic foot ulcer (includes atherosclerosis of native arteries and  
   18       bypass graft of the leg with ulceration of heel and midfoot) (I70.234,  
   19       I70.244, I70.334, I70.344, I70.434, I70.444, I70.534, I70.544, I70.634,  
   20       I70.644, I70.734, I70.744, L97.401 - L97.429) that has not responded to  
   21       medical management (e.g., dressings, debridement, antibiotics)

22    Total contact casting is contraindicated for the following cases:

- 23    • Ischemic conditions of the lower leg and foot (e.g., uncontrolled peripheral vascular  
   24       disease)
- 25    • Active infection or osteomyelitis
- 26    • Wounds that have not been properly debrided

## 27    **CPT® Code and Description**

<b>CPT® Code</b>	<b>CPT® Code Description</b>
29445	Application of rigid total contact leg cast

## 30    **DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

31    Foot disorders are a major source of morbidity and a leading cause of hospitalization for  
 32    individuals with diabetes. Ulceration, infection, and Charcot foot are among the serious  
 33    complications of long-standing diabetes. Diabetic foot ulcers may be classified as  
 34    neuropathic, ischemic, or neuroischemic. Sensory neuropathy is the most frequent  
 35    component in the causal sequence to ulceration in patients with diabetes. Charcot foot, or  
 36    diabetic neuroarthropathy, is a neurologically mediated complication of diabetes, with the  
 37    development modified by musculoskeletal stress, resulting in osseous fragmentation and  
 38    joint subluxation with often significant morphologic changes in the architecture of the foot.

1 Complications may involve ulceration beneath bony prominences and possible amputation,  
2 which is frequently associated with infection or osteomyelitis occurring near the site of  
3 ulceration. Treatment should be directed by the underlying severity of the pathology.  
4 Tissue damage and ulceration in the diabetic foot can result from a combination of foot  
5 deformity, loss of protective sensation, and insufficient off-loading. Standard management  
6 of diabetic neuropathic foot ulceration is prevention of infection, aggressive debridement  
7 with removal of callus and dead tissue, application of medications or dressings to the ulcer,  
8 followed by application of some form of off-loading device to offload the ulcer area with  
9 concomitant management of blood glucose levels and other health problems, as  
10 recommended by the American Podiatric Medical Association. Most ulcers will heal if  
11 pressure is removed from the ulcer site, if the arterial circulation is sufficient, and if  
12 infection is managed and treated aggressively (Boulton, 2010).

13  
14 In Charcot foot, loss of pain and protective sensation render the foot susceptible to repeated  
15 injury. The mainstay of management is immediate off-loading, while surgery is usually  
16 reserved for chronic cases with irreversible deformities and/or joint instability.

17  
18 Total contact casts (TCC) and removable walkers have been shown to be extremely  
19 effective in off-loading the diabetic foot, with reported peak pressure reduction in the  
20 forefoot of up to 87% compared with a control condition. This result may be achieved,  
21 among other mechanisms, by limiting ankle motion and redistributing load to the device  
22 itself. For these reasons, devices that extend only to the ankle, such as cast shoes and  
23 forefoot offloading shoes, may be less effective in off-loading the foot than devices that  
24 extend above the ankle (i.e., TCC and walkers). As there are no current means available to  
25 completely diminish the effects of neuropathy, the present tenet for treating and preventing  
26 deformity is based on the redistribution of pressure.

27  
28 The use of a plaster cast to treat neuropathic foot deformities has come to be known as total  
29 contact casting (TCC) because it employs a well-molded, minimally padded cast that  
30 maintains contact with the entire plantar surface of the foot and lower leg. The cast material  
31 closely fits the foot's plantar surface, increasing weight-bearing area and distributing  
32 pressure more evenly across the foot. The TCC is not removable and is widely considered  
33 by diabetic foot specialists as a preferred offloading method.

34  
35 Much of the available evidence on the use of offloading for ulcer treatment is related to the  
36 treatment of non-complicated plantar neuropathic foot ulcers. Evidence is scarce on  
37 complicated and non-plantar foot ulcers. The treatment of ischemic and/or infected  
38 neuropathic ulcers is more difficult than with purely neuropathic ulcers, for which good  
39 offloading and debridement often suffice. One study showed that, whereas neuropathic  
40 ulcers and mildly infected/ischemic ulcers can be treated effectively with casting (69–90%  
41 healing rates), treatment outcome for plantar ulcers that are infected and ischemic is poor  
42 (only 36%). Additional procedures such as antibiotic therapy or revascularization

1 interventions are required to achieve proper healing for these complicated ulcers  
2 (Bus, 2012).

3  
4 Diabetes-related lower extremity amputations are typically preceded by a foot ulcer. The  
5 patient demographics related to diabetic foot ulceration are typical for patients with long-  
6 standing diabetes. Risk factors for ulceration include neuropathy, peripheral arterial  
7 disease, foot deformity, limited ankle range of motion, high plantar foot pressures, minor  
8 trauma, previous ulceration or amputation, and visual impairment. Infection and peripheral  
9 arterial disease are the main causes of amputation after an ulcer forms. The Society for  
10 Vascular Surgery, American Podiatric Medical Association, and Society for Vascular  
11 Medicine recommend custom therapeutic footwear for high-risk diabetes patients with  
12 significant neuropathy, foot deformities, or previous amputations. In patients with plantar  
13 diabetic foot ulcer, off-loading with a total contact cast or irremovable fixed ankle walking  
14 boot is recommended (Hingorani et al., 2016).

15  
16 Severe foot ischemia, a deep abscess, osteomyelitis, and poor skin quality are absolute  
17 contraindications to the use of a non-removable total contact cast (Alexiadou et al., 2012).

18  
19 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

20 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their  
21 education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may  
22 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner  
23 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services  
24 and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

25  
26 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if  
27 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared  
28 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently  
29 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be  
30 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

31  
32 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or  
33 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a  
34 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular  
35 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards  
36 for Hospitals, 2020).

37  
38 Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's  
39 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the  
40 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent  
41 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their  
42 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as

1 appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice  
 2 guideline for information.

3

4 **References**

5 Alexiadou K, Doupis J. Management of diabetic foot ulcers. *Diabetes Ther.* 2012;3(1):4

6

7 American Medical Association. (current year). *Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)*  
 8 Current year (rev. ed.). Chicago: AMA

9

10 Boulton, A. J. (2010). The diabetic foot. *Medicine*, 38(12), 644-648. doi:  
 11 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mpmed.2010.08.011>

12

13 Boulton, A. J. (2014). Diabetic neuropathy and foot complications. *Handb Clin Neurol*,  
 14 126, 97-107. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-444-53480-4.00008-4

15

16 Burns, J., & Begg, L. (2011). Optimizing the offloading properties of the total contact cast  
 17 for plantar foot ulceration. *Diabet Med*, 28(2), 179-185. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-  
 18 5491.2010.03135.x

19

20 Bus, S. A. (2012). Priorities in offloading the diabetic foot. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*, 28  
 21 Suppl 1, 54-59. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.2240

22

23 Cavanagh, P. R., & Bus, S. A. (2011). Off-loading the diabetic foot for ulcer prevention  
 24 and healing. *Plast Reconstr Surg*, 127 Suppl 1, 248S-256S. doi:  
 25 10.1097/PRS.0b013e3182024864

26

27 Faglia, E., Caravaggi, C., Clerici, G., Sganzeroli, A., Curci, V., Vailati, W., Sommavico,  
 28 F. (2010). Effectiveness of removable walker cast versus nonremovable fiberglass off-  
 29 bearing cast in the healing of diabetic plantar foot ulcer: a randomized controlled trial.  
 30 *Diabetes Care*, 33(7), 1419-1423. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1708

31

32 Gouveri, E., & Papanas, N. (2011). Charcot osteoarthropathy in diabetes: A brief review  
 33 with an emphasis on clinical practice. *World J Diabetes*, 2(5), 59-65. doi:  
 34 10.4239/wjd.v2.i5.59

35

36 Gutekunst, D. J., Hastings, M. K., Bohnert, K. L., Strube, M. J., & Sinacore, D. R. (2011).  
 37 Removable cast walker boots yield greater forefoot off-loading than total contact casts.  
 38 *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 26(6), 649-654. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2011.03.010

39

40 Healy, A., Naemi, R., & Chockalingam, N. (2014). The effectiveness of footwear and other  
 41 removable off-loading devices in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers: a systematic  
 42 review. *Curr Diabetes Rev*, 10(4), 215-230

1 Hingorani, A., LaMuraglia, G. M., Henke, P., Meissner, M. H., Loretz, L., Zinszer, K. M.,  
 2 & Murad, M. H. (2016). The management of diabetic foot: a clinical practice guideline  
 3 by the Society for Vascular Surgery in collaboration with the American Podiatric  
 4 Medical Association and the Society for Vascular Medicine. *Journal of vascular*  
 5 *surgery*, 63(2), 3S-21S

6

7 Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation  
 8 Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources

9

10 Messenger G, Masoetsa R, Hussain I. A Narrative Review of the Benefits and Risks of  
 11 Total Contact Casts in the Management of Diabetic Foot Ulcers. *J Am Coll Clin Wound*  
 12 *Spec.* 2018;9(1-3):19-23. Published 2018 Jun 7. doi:10.1016/j.jccw.2018.05.002

13

14 Morona, J. K., Buckley, E. S., Jones, S., Reddin, E. A., & Merlin, T. L. (2013). Comparison  
 15 of the clinical effectiveness of different off-loading devices for the treatment of  
 16 neuropathic foot ulcers in patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-  
 17 analysis. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research & Reviews*, 29(3), 183-193. doi:  
 18 10.1002/dmrr.2386

19

20 Perrin, B. M., Gardner, M. J., Suhaimi, A., & Murphy, D. (2010). Charcot osteoarthropathy  
 21 of the foot. *Aust Fam Physician*, 39(3), 117-119

22

23 Piaggesi, A., Macchiarini, S., Rizzo, L., Palumbo, F., Tedeschi, A., Nobili, L. A., Del Prato,  
 24 S. (2007). An off-the-shelf instant contact casting device for the management of  
 25 diabetic foot ulcers: a randomized prospective trial versus traditional fiberglass cast.  
 26 *Diabetes Care*, 30(3), 586-590

27

28 Sponer, P., Kucera, T., Brtkova, J., & Srot, J. (2013). The management of Charcot midfoot  
 29 deformities in diabetic patients. *Acta Medica (Hradec Kralove)*, 56(1), 3-8

30

31 Steed, D. L., Attinger, C., Colaizzi, T., Crossland, M., Franz, M., Harkless, L., Wiersma-  
 32 Bryant, L. (2006). Guidelines for the treatment of diabetic ulcers. *Wound Repair Regen*,  
 33 14(6), 680-692. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2006.00176.x

34

35 Vuorisalo, S., Venermo, M., & Lepantalo, M. (2009). Treatment of diabetic foot ulcers.  
 36 *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)*, 50(3), 275-291

37

38 Whitelaw, S. (2012). The total contact cast: controversy in offloading the diabetic foot.  
 39 *British Journal of Community Nursing*, S16-20