1 2	Clinical Practice Guideline:	Injury Prevention (Fall Risk Assessment in Older Adults)
3		
4	Date of Implementation:	June 19, 2014
5		
6	Product:	Specialty
7		

8 9 **GUIDELINES**

Among portal of entry practitioners, screening all patients 65 or older for fall risk is 10 considered best practice. Providing direct intervention (e.g., lifestyle and/or dietary 11 changes) for patients for whom the screening results indicated a need for intervention, will 12 depend upon the practitioner's education, training, experience, and scope of practice. In 13 the absence of such direct intervention, providing a referral intervention (e.g., to the 14 patient's medical physician) is considered necessary. The screenings described in this 15 policy may be outside the education, training, experience, or scope of some practitioner 16 types. In the context of best practices for these practitioners, a level of awareness that risk 17 factors and/or signs/symptoms of fall risk are present is required and a subsequent referral 18 for appropriate evaluation is necessary and within the purview of all. 19 20

21 INTRODUCTION

According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), unintentional injury is among the top 10 leading causes of death for all ages. Falls among adults aged 65 and older are very costly. Each year about \$50 billion is spent on non-fatal fall injuries and \$754 million is spent on fatal falls. Each year, millions of older people—those 65 and older fall. In fact, more than one out of four older people fall each year, but less than half tell their doctor. Falling once doubles your chances of falling again (CDC, 2024).

28

Fall prevention in older adults is a key area of injury prevention where practitioners can play an important role. Injuries as a result of falls can result in decreased quality of life, disability, and/or death in older adults.

32

33 Assessing Fall Risk

The Prevention of Falls Network Europe and Outcomes Consensus Group define a "fall" 34 as "an unexpected event in which the participant comes to rest on the ground, floor or lower 35 level." They recommend incorporating this definition when taking a fall history, by asking 36 patients, "Have you had any fall including a slip or trip in which you lost your balance and 37 landed on the floor or ground or lower level?" (Hauer 2006). The optimal interval for 38 39 asking about falls has not been determined. However, the American Geriatrics Society recommend that clinicians ask their patients yearly about falls and balance or gait 40 41 problems.

- 1 The National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (under the CDC) recommends the
- 2 following Algorithm for Fall Risk Screening, Assessment, and Intervention.
- 3



- 4 5
- 6 The Stay Independent brochure referenced above can be found online:
- 7 https://www.cdc.gov/steadi/pdf/STEADI-Brochure-StayIndependent-508.pdf
- 8

9 The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) is under the U.S. Department

- 10 of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and sponsors the United States Preventive Services
- 11 Task Force (USPSTF), a leading independent panel of private-sector experts in prevention
- 12 and primary care. The USPSTF conducts rigorous assessments of the scientific evidence
- 13 for the effectiveness of a broad range of clinical preventive services, including screening
- 14 and counseling.

Page 2 of 10

A comprehensive review of the USPSTF rating process can be found in the *Preventive* 1 Care Services (CPG 140 - S) clinical practice guideline or at the USPSTF website 2 (https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Name/grade-definitions). 3

4

According to the USPSTF, no single recommended tool or brief approach can reliably 5 identify older adults at increased risk for falls, but several reasonable and feasible 6 approaches are available. Clinicians can reasonably consider a small number of factors to 7 identify older persons at increased risk of falling. Age has a strong correlation to fall risk. 8 Additionally, many clinical factors such as a history of falls and/or gait and balance 9 problems (e.g., performing poorly on the Timed Up and Go or TUG test) would flag 10 patients for increased risk of falling. 11

12

Three key questions, commonly found on fall risk screening tests, can be efficiently used 13 by a practitioner to determine if further screening is necessary for older adult patients at 14 risk. These questions include: 15

16

1. Has the person fallen in the last year? 2. Are they worried about falling? and

17 3. Do they feel unsteady? 18

Positive responses to any of these would warrant further evaluation for fall risk. 19

20

According to the American Geriatrics Society, older persons who have fallen should have 21 their gait and balance evaluated and patients who perform poorly on or are unable to 22 perform a standardized gait and balance test should be given a multifactorial fall risk 23 assessment. The elements of a multifactorial risk assessment can include a focused medical 24 history (e.g., falls and medication review), physical examination (e.g., evaluation for 25 postural dizziness/postural hypotension, visual acuity, feet, and footwear), functional 26 assessments (e.g., cognitive screening) and an environmental assessment. 27

28

35

36

Commonly used tests to evaluate a patient's gait and balance for fall risk include but are 29 30 not limited to the following:

- Timed Up & Go (TUG) Test evaluates individual's ability to transfer in and out 31 of a chair, measures gait speed, dynamic balance, and mobility; 32
- Get Up & Go Test evaluates and assesses static and dynamic balance, gait, and 33 mobility; 34
 - Berg Balance Scale rates an individual's ability to maintain balance while performing static and dynamic mobility related tasks;
- Dynamic Gait Index rates the ability of an individual to perform challenging tasks 37 during gait; 38
- Tinetti Performance Oriented Mobility Assessment (POMA) task-oriented test 39 • that measures an adult's gait and balance abilities. 40

These tests may also give clues as to the person's cognition and ability to follow directions, 1

2 etc.

3 Interventions 4

A 2018 systematic review funded by the AHRO examined interventions designed to reduce 5 falls in older adults (Guirguis-Blake et al., 2018). The following results were noted: 6

- The current evidence base demonstrates that exercise is associated with fewer 7 • people experiencing a fall and a reduced number of injurious falls in average- and 8 high-risk older adults ("high-risk" meaning experienced a fall). 9
- Multifactorial interventions showed a 21% reduction in the incidence rate of falls 10 with substantial heterogeneity but showed no effect on people experiencing a fall, 11 people experiencing an injurious fall, or mortality. Trials are clinically and 12 statistically heterogeneous. 13
- No specific effective exercise or multifactorial protocol has been replicated in 14 larger population trials. 15
- Vitamin D, environment, and medication management interventions have either 16 single trials showing no statistically significant effect or a few trials reporting 17 mixed results. 18
 - Single trials of cognitive behavioral, knowledge + environment, and exercise + • environment + vision interventions showed moderate effectiveness in reducing falls and/or people experiencing a fall.
- 21 22

19

20

- Limitations excluded neuro- population and other specific diagnosis.
- 23

Chiu et al. (2021) investigated the effectiveness of the Otago Exercise Programme (OEP) 24 intervention on actual balance performance (i.e., static, dynamic, proactive, or reactive 25 balance) and perceived balance ability (i.e., balance confidence or fear of falling) for older 26 adults in a meta-analysis; the secondary aim was to examine which OEP protocol most 27 improves balance in older adults. A total of 12 RCTs were included in the analyses. The 28 OEP exerted significant effects on static balance, dynamic balance, proactive balance, and 29 perceived balance in older adults. Subgroup analysis indicated that the group format for 30 the OEP was more effective for improving static, dynamic and perceived balance than was 31 the individual format. Sessions of >30 minutes were more effective in improving static and 32 perceived balance than were sessions of ≤ 30 minutes. Authors concluded that the OEP is 33 helpful for improving actual balance including static, dynamic, and proactive balance; 34 enhancing confidence in balance control; and reducing fear of falling in older adults. 35 Administrating the OEP in a group setting in >30-minute sessions may be the most 36 appropriate and effective exercise protocol for improving balance. 37

38

Sadaqa et el. (2023) summarized the effects of community-based resistance, balance, and 39 multi-component exercise interventions on the parameters of functional ability (e.g., lower 40 extremities muscle strength, balance performance and mobility) in a systematic review of 41 randomized controlled trials. Authors included RCTs that investigated the following 42

CPG 179 Revision 11 - S Injury Prevention (Fall Risk Assessment in Older Adults) Revised - May 15, 2025 To CQT for review 04/14/2025 CQT reviewed 04/14/2025 To QIC for review and approval 05/06/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 05/06/2025 To QOC for review and approval 05/15/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 05/15/2025

Page 4 of 10

interventions: lower extremity strengthening, balance and multi-component exercise 1 interventions on ambulatory community-dwelling adults aged ≥ 65 years. Results state that 2 lower extremity strengthening exercises revealed significant effects on the strength of 3 lower extremity, balance outcomes and mobility. Balance exercises reduce the rate of 4 injurious falls, improve static, dynamic and reactive balance, lower extremity strength as 5 well as mobility. Multi-component exercise training reduces medically attended injurious 6 falls and fallers, incidence of falls, fall-related emergency department visits as well as 7 improves mobility, balance, and lower extremity strength. Authors concluded that physical 8 exercises are effective in improving the components of balance, lower extremity strength, 9 mobility, and reducing falls and fall-related injuries. 10

11

Colón-Emeric et al. (2024) completed a review of risk assessment and prevention of falls 12 in older community-dwelling adults and summarized with the following information. Falls 13 can result in substantial morbidity, mortality, and health care expenditures. Falls result 14 from age-related physiologic changes compounded by multiple intrinsic and extrinsic risk 15 factors. Major modifiable risk factors among community-dwelling older adults include gait 16 and balance disorders, orthostatic hypotension, sensory impairment, medications, and 17 environmental hazards. Guidelines recommend that individuals who report a fall in the 18 prior year, have concerns about falling, or have gait speed less than 0.8 to 1 m/s should 19 20 receive fall prevention interventions. In a meta-analysis of 59 randomized clinical trials (RCTs) in average-risk to high-risk populations, exercise interventions to reduce falls were 21 associated with 655 falls per 1000 patient-years in intervention groups vs 850 falls per 22 1,000 patient-years in non-exercise control groups, with most trials assessing balance and 23 functional exercises. In a meta-analysis of 43 RCTs of interventions that systematically 24 assessed and addressed multiple risk factors among individuals at high risk, multifactorial 25 interventions were associated with 1,784 falls per 1000 patient-years in intervention groups 26 vs 2,317 falls per 1000 patient-years in control groups without a significant difference in 27 the number of individuals who fell. Other interventions associated with decreased falls in 28 meta-analysis of RCTs and quasi-randomized trials include surgery to remove cataracts (8 29 studies with 1,834 patients, multicomponent podiatry interventions (3 studies with 1,358 30 patients), and environmental modifications for individuals at high risk (12 studies with 31 5,293 patients). Meta-analysis of RCTs of programs to stop medications associated with 32 33 falls have not found a significant reduction, although deprescribing is a component of many successful multifactorial interventions. Authors concluded that more than 25% of older 34 adults fall each year, and falls are the leading cause of injury-related death in persons aged 35 65 years or older. Functional exercises to improve leg strength and balance are 36 recommended for fall prevention in average-risk to high-risk populations. Multifactorial 37 risk reduction based on a systematic clinical assessment for modifiable risk factors may 38 39 reduce fall rates among those at high risk.

- 40
- The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) also recommends that older adults engage in regular exercise. Specifically, exercise should include muscle-

Page 5 of 10

1 strengthening activities twice per week, as well as aerobic physical activity that is either of

2 moderate intensity for a minimum of $2\frac{1}{2}$ total hours per week or of vigorous intensity for

at least 1 ¹/₄ total hours per week. For older adults identified as at risk for falling (e.g., due

- 4 to a recent fall or ambulatory difficulties), the DHHS also recommends balance training at
- 5 least three days per week.
- 6 7

8

9

10

- The CDC recommends 3 categories of interventions for fall risk prevention:
- 1. Exercise
 - 2. Modifying the home to reduce hazards
- 3. Multifaceted (including medical screening for medications used and impaired vision)
- 11 12

13 USPSTF Recommendation Levels:

Grade	Definition	Suggestions for Practice
Α	The USPSTF <i>recommends</i> the service. There is high certainty that the net benefit is substantial.	Offer or provide this service.
В	The USPSTF <i>recommends</i> the service. There is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial.	Offer or provide this service.
С	The USPSTF recommends <i>selectively</i> offering or providing this service based on professional judgment and patient preferences. There is at least moderate certainty that the net benefit is small.	Offer or provide this service for selected patients depending on circumstances.
D	The USPSTF recommends <i>against</i> the service. There is moderate or high certainty of either no net benefit or that the harms outweigh the benefits.	Discourage the use of this service.
I	The USPSTF concludes that the current evidence is <i>insufficient</i> to assess the balance of benefits and harms of the service. Evidence is lacking, of poor quality, or conflicting, and the balance of benefits and harms cannot be determined.	If the service is offered, patients should understand the uncertainty about the balance of benefits and harms.

14

15 A comprehensive review of the USPSTF rating process can be found in the ASH policy

16 *Preventive Care Guidelines* (CPG 140 – S) or at the USPSTF website: 17 http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Name/grade-definitions.

CPG 179 Revision 11 – S Injury Prevention (Fall Risk Assessment in Older Adults) **Revised – May 15, 2025** To CQT for review 04/14/2025 CQT reviewed 04/14/2025 To QIC for review and approval 05/06/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 05/06/2025 To QOC for review and approval 05/15/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 05/15/2025 Page 6 of 10

- **USPSTF Recommendations:** 1 Falls Prevention in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: Interventions (2024) 2 Grade B Recommendation: The USPSTF recommends exercise interventions to prevent 3 falls in community-dwelling adults 65 years or older who are at increased risk for falls. 4 5 Grade C Recommendation: The USPSTF recommends that clinicians individualize the 6 decision to offer multifactorial interventions to prevent falls to community-7 8 dwelling adults 65 years or older who are at increased risk for falls. Existing evidence indicates that the overall net benefit of routinely offering multifactorial 9 interventions to prevent falls is small. When determining whether this service is 10 appropriate for an individual, patients and clinicians should consider the balance of 11 benefits and harms based on the circumstances of prior falls, presence of comorbid 12 medical conditions, and the patient's values and preferences. 13 14 PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING 15 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their 16 education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may 17 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner 18 19 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services and whether the services are within their scope of practice. 20 21 22 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a patient only if they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared 23 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently 24 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be 25 best practice to refer the patient to the more expert practitioner. 26 27 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or 28 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a 29 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular 30
 - majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular
 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
 for Hospitals, 2020).
 - 33

³⁴ Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a patient's ³⁵ condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the ³⁶ need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is essential ³⁷ for the practitioner to refer the patient for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their primary ³⁸ care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as appropriate. ³⁹ See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice guideline for ⁴⁰ information.

Page 7 of 10

1	Practitioner Resources
2	Publicly available resources can be found at:
3	• Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC): STEADI (Stopping Elderly
4	Accidents, Deaths & Injuries) Tool Kit for Your Medical Practice
5	http://www.cdc.gov/steadi/index.html
6	• iGeriatrics App. American Geriatrics Society – smart phone application.
7	https://play.google.com/store/search?q=iGeriatrics or
8	https://apps.apple.com/us/app/igeriatrics/id365560773
9	
10	Member Resources
11	Publicly available resources can be found at:
12	• Centers for Disease Control & Prevention: Patient & Caregiver Resources.
13	https://www.cdc.gov/steadi/patient-
14	resources/?CDC_AAref_Val=https://www.cdc.gov/steadi/patient.html
15	• Centers for Disease Control & Prevention: Check for Safety: A Home Fall
16	Prevention Checklist for Older Adults. https://www.cdc.gov/steadi/pdf/STEADI-
17	Brochure-CheckForSafety-508.pdf
18	• National Institutes for Health – <i>Real-Life Benefits of Exercise and Physical Activity</i>
19	https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/real-life-benefits-exercise-and-physical-activity
20	• iGeriatrics App. American Geriatrics Society – smart phone application.
21	https://play.google.com/store/search?q=iGeriatrics or
22	https://apps.apple.com/us/app/igeriatrics/id365560773
23	
24	References
25	Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Orav EJ, et al. Monthly High-Dose Vitamin D
26	Treatment for the Prevention of Functional Decline: A Randomized Clinical
27	Trial. JAMAInternMed.2016;176(2):175-183.
28	doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.7148
29	
30	Bolland MJ, Grey A, Gamble GD, Reid IR. Vitamin D supplementation and falls: a trial
31	sequential meta-analysis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2014;2(7):573-580.
32	doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70068-3
33	Contens for Disease Control and Drovention, STEADI (Stepping Elderly, Assidents, Deethe
34	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: STEADI (Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths
35	& Injuries) Retrieved April 4, 2025 from https://www.cdc.gov/steadi/index.html
36	Conten for Disassa Control and Drevention Older Adult Fall Drevention Detrieved on
37	Center for Disease Control and Prevention.Older Adult Fall Prevention. Retrieved on March 26, 2024 from https://www.cdc.gov/falls/index.html
38 30	watch 20, 2024 from https://www.cuc.gov/fans/fildex.html
39 40	Colón-Emeric, C. S., McDermott, C. L., Lee, D. S., & Berry, S. D. (2024). Risk Assessment
40 41	and Prevention of Falls in Older Community-Dwelling Adults: A Review. JAMA,
42	331(16), 1397–1406. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2024.1416

Page 8 of 10

1	Chiu HL, Yeh TT, Lo YT, Liang PJ, Lee SC. The effects of the Otago Exercise Programme
2	on actual and perceived balance in older adults: A meta-analysis. PLoS One.
3	2021;16(8):e0255780. Published 2021 Aug 6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0255780
4	
5	Guirguis-Blake JM, Michael YL, Perdue LA, Coppola EL, Beil TL, Thompson
6	JH. Interventions to Prevent Falls in Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Systematic
7	Review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Rockville (MD): Agency for
8	Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2018. Available from
9	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK525700/
10	
11	Hauer K, Lamb SE, Jorstad EC, Todd C, Becker C; PROFANE-Group. Systematic review
12	of definitions and methods of measuring falls in randomised controlled fall prevention
13	trials. Age Ageing. 2006;35(1):5-10. doi:10.1093/ageing/afi218
14	
15	Joint Commission International. (2020). Joint Commission International Accreditation
16	Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources.
17	
18	Lamb SE, Jørstad-Stein EC, Hauer K, Becker C; Prevention of Falls Network Europe and
19	Outcomes Consensus Group. Development of a common outcome data set for fall
20	injury prevention trials: the Prevention of Falls Network Europe consensus. J Am
21	Geriatr Soc. 2005;53(9):1618-1622. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53455.x
22	Michael VI Wilder D. D. Lin IC et al. Driver and and internet inte
23	Michael YL, Whitlock EP, Lin JS, et al. Primary care-relevant interventions to prevent
24	falling in older adults: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services
25 26	Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2010;153(12):815-825. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-153-12-
26 27	201012210-00008
27 28	Moreland B, Kakara R, Henry A. Trends in Nonfatal Falls and Fall-Related Injuries Among
28 29	Adults Aged ≥ 65 Years - United States, 2012-2018. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.
29 30	2020;69(27):875-881. Published 2020 Jul 10. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6927a5
31	2020,09(27).075-001. 1 ubitshed 2020 Jul 10. ubi.10.15505/hilliwi.hilli0727d5
32	National Institute on Aging. Prevent falls and fractures. 2022. Retrieved on April 4, 2025
33	from https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/prevent-falls-and-fractures
34	from https:// www.ind.inii.gov/neuron/provent funs and fractures
35	Sadaqa M, Németh Z, Makai A, Prémusz V, Hock M. Effectiveness of exercise
36	interventions on fall prevention in ambulatory community-dwelling older adults: a
37	systematic review with narrative synthesis. Front Public Health. 2023 Aug
38	3;11:1209319
39	
40	Stevens JA, Corso PS, Finkelstein EA, Miller TR. The costs of fatal and non-fatal falls
41	among older adults. Inj Prev. 2006;12(5):290-295. doi:10.1136/ip.2005.011015

- 1 USPSTF Guide: Fall Prevention in Community-Dwelling Older Adults; June 2024.
- 2 Retrieved April 4, 2025 from:
- 3 https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/falls-
- 4 prevention-community-dwelling-older-adults-interventions#fullrecommendationstart

CPG 179 Revision 11 – S Injury Prevention (Fall Risk Assessment in Older Adults) **Revised – May 15, 2025** To CQT for review 04/14/2025 CQT reviewed 04/14/2025 To QIC for review and approval 05/06/2025 QIC reviewed and approval 05/15/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 05/15/2025