Clinical Practice Guideline: Date of Implementation: Product:	Vestibular Rehabilitation	
	April 17, 2014	
	Specialty	
Table of Contents		
GUIDELINES		
DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND		
EVIDENCE REVIEW		
Vestibular Rehabilitation (VR)		
Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertig	o (BPPV)	
Concussion		
Persistent Postural-Perceptual Dizzin	ess (PPPD)	
PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAIN	NING	
References		
GUIDELINES		
1 1 1	cialty (ASH) considers the use of vestibular rehabilitatio	
0	tion exercises, for the treatment of non-specific unilater	
and bilateral peripheral vestibular	r dysfunction as medically necessary.	

- ASH considers the use of the Dix-Hallpike test for the diagnosis of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) as medically necessary. Additionally, the use of the Epley maneuver and the Semont (liberatory) maneuver for the treatment of BPPV are medically necessary for the treatment of BPPV.
- 27

ASH considers manual therapy mobilization or manipulation as not medically necessary for the treatment of isolated cervicogenic dizziness. The literature is insufficient to conclude that it is either clinically effective or ineffective in the treatment of this condition. Additional clinical trials are required to determine the effectiveness of manual therapy mobilization or manipulation for the treatment of cervicogenic dizziness for individual patients in order to determine its benefit: risk profile.

34

35 **DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

Dizziness is a common patient complaint resulting in an estimated 7 million doctor visits per year (Hillier and McDonnell, 2011). Vertigo is a related symptom that occurs when subjects perceive movement despite being still. In a 2009 review, Neuhauser and Lempert summarized the epidemiology of vertigo (Neuhauser and Lempert, 2009). Per the review

Page 1 of 27

findings, community-based surveys indicated that as many as 20-30% of the population reports complaints of dizziness or vertigo. A more detailed neurologic screening indicated that the lifetime prevalence of vertigo is 7.4%, the one-year prevalence is 4.9%, and the annual incidence is 1.4% in adults ages 18-79. Additional epidemiology findings showed that the incidence of vertigo is 2.7 times more common in females than males and prevalence increases steadily with age.

According to Hillier and McDonnell (2011), the most common source of dizziness and 8 vertigo is the vestibular system which accounts for 25% of cases. Various conditions can 9 cause vestibular pathology including surgical procedures in this region, head or neck 10 trauma, Meniere's disease, vestibular neuritis or labyrinthitis, perilymphatic fistula, 11 acoustic neuroma, and benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV). Differential 12 diagnosis can be difficult, so many studies group patients under the category unilateral 13 peripheral vestibular dysfunction (UPVD) or hypofunction. Central nervous system 14 pathologies may also cause vestibular dysfunction, but these are less common and are often 15 excluded from studies of vestibular rehabilitation. 16

17

Patients with UPVD will report dizziness with associated visual or gaze disturbance, 18 disequilibrium, and balance abnormalities. Oscillopsia may be reported which is a visual 19 disturbance characterized by blurring or movement of the surroundings during gaze. Gaze 20 disturbances may be mediated through interruption of the vestibular-ocular reflex (VOR), 21 which functions to coordinate eve and head movements to allow for steady gaze as the 22 body moves through space. Various tests and measures have been used to measure baseline 23 status and change over time. There was considerable variation in the applied outcomes 24 measures within the studies under investigation, with authors reporting results on various 25 scales ranging from one item dichotomous (symptom resolution/not), ordinal, or visual 26 analog measures to the Vertigo Symptom Scale (14 items; 0-60 scoring). Gait disturbances 27 may be measured with gait speed or the Dynamic Gait Index (8 tasks, 0-24 scale), while 28 the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) measures participation restrictions. More 29 objective physiological measures such as electronystagmography tests for VOR were not 30 considered because they have not been correlated with function (Hillier and McDonnell, 31 2011). 32

33

Vestibular rehabilitation (VR) is frequently recommended to manage the signs and symptoms of UPVD. VR typically consists of various components, each targeted to a specific aspect of the pathology, including:

- Habituation exercises, which utilize repeated symptom producing motions to decrease the sensitivity to stimuli via neural plasticity. These may also be termed compensatory or neuroplastic strategies (Hillier and McDonnell, 2011).
- Adaptation exercises, where patients fix their gaze on a distant point while turning
 their head in various directions. These are designed to train the VOR and reduce
 retinal "slip" (Herdman, 2013).

Page 2 of 27

- Substitution exercises, designed to sharpen other sensory organs to assist the vestibular system in balance.
 - Education on strategies to avoid provocatory motions and promote safe activity despite vestibular hypofunction.
- 4 5

1

2

3

6 Medications for UPVD such as anti-nausea drugs or vestibular suppressants may be used 7 to reduce symptoms but are seldom long-term solutions. Surgery may be used for extreme 8 cases, including procedures such as labyrinthectomy or vestibular nerve resection. They 9 may also be useful for specific pathologies such as an acoustic neuroma or peri-lymphatic 10 fistula (Hillier and McDonnell, 2011). Other conservative interventions for vertigo and 11 dizziness include canalith repositioning maneuvers, specifically for BPPV, and manual 12 therapy, advocated for cervicogenic dizziness.

13

Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) is characterized by short bouts of vertigo or 14 dizziness, often with nausea, brought on by changes in position (e.g., bending down) or 15 rapid head movements, particularly neck extension. Symptoms may resolve spontaneously 16 and may also recur after a period of time without symptoms. BPPV may be associated with 17 a variety of causes such as head trauma (including concussion), vestibular neuritis, and ear 18 infection. Most cases are idiopathic. The female to male ratio is 2:1 for idiopathic; other 19 causes are more evenly distributed. It is more common among ages 50-70. A positive Dix-20 Hallpike test is diagnostic for BPPV. This maneuver involves taking a patient through rapid 21 changes in position that produce nystagmus, dizziness, and nausea. 22

23

Persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD) is a disorder of functional dizziness that in 24 the International Classification of Diseases in its 11th revision (ICD-11) supersedes phobic 25 postural vertigo and chronic subjective dizziness. PPPD manifests with one or more 26 symptoms of dizziness, unsteadiness, or non-spinning vertigo that are present on most days 27 for three months or more and are exacerbated by upright posture, active or passive 28 movement, and exposure to moving or complex visual stimuli. PPPD may be precipitated 29 by conditions that disrupt balance or cause vertigo, unsteadiness, or dizziness, including 30 peripheral or central vestibular disorders, other medical illnesses, or psychological distress. 31 PPPD may be present alone or co-exist with other conditions. 32

33

34 EVIDENCE REVIEW

35 Vestibular Rehabilitation (VR)

Hillier and McDonnell (2011) provided a comprehensive systematic review of vestibular rehabilitation. Their comprehensive literature review included community dwelling subjects with a physician's diagnosis of UPVD and symptoms of vertigo, dizziness, a balance disorder, and/or visual or gaze disturbances. Subjects with Meniere's disease could be included if they were in later, non-fluctuating stages. There was no age limitation although the majority of patients in the studies were age 65 and over. Studies which utilized exercise and movement-based therapies were included, while studies that focused on

Page 3 of 27

specific repositioning maneuvers were excluded. Comparison groups received placebo,

sham, usual care, no treatment, specific alternative treatment such as medication or surgery,

3 or another type of vestibular rehabilitation. Relevant outcomes included symptoms,

- functional measures including balance, or an alternative vestibular rehabilitation approach.
 The authors' are an including balance or an alternative vestibular rehabilitation approach.
- The authors' exhaustive search included articles published through July 2010. A total of 6 27 studies were included, with 10 additional articles added since the previous update was 7 published in 2007. Sample size for these articles ranged from 14-360 subjects with an 8 average of 64. Four of the studies took place in the acute hospital setting, while the rest of 9 the studies were performed in outpatient clinics. Most studies utilized a combination of 10 therapy approaches (habituation, adaptation, substitution, balance training, and education); 11 only a few studies isolated a particular therapeutic approach. Controls were most often 12 usual care or a sham exercise approach. There was a great deal of heterogeneity in outcome 13 measures, as there appears to be no generally accepted standardized measure of vestibular 14 symptoms. In their assessment of risk of bias, the authors noted generally poor reporting 15 of randomization and allocation procedures with generally low risk of bias in 4 other 16 categories. 17
- 18

There were 13 studies that compared VR to a sham or usual care control. Most studies 19 favored VR, but the variety of outcome measures made it difficult to formulate an overall 20 summary. When subjective improvement of dizziness was dichotomized (4 studies), VR 21 was favored with an odds ratio of 2.67 (95% CI: 1.85-3.86). Hillier and McDonald reported 22 combined standardized mean difference (SMD) of -0.67 on the Vertigo Symptom Scale (3 23 studies), -0.80 on the DHI (4 studies), and -0.92 on the Dynamic Gait Index (DGI) (3 24 studies). Various other secondary outcomes also generally supported the use of VR versus 25 a control intervention. VR was compared to alternative treatment in 6 trials. There were 2 26 studies involving subjects with a diagnosis of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo 27 (BPPV). VR was much less effective in "curing" BPPV induced dizziness than physical 28 maneuvers (odds ratio=0.13; 95% CI: 0.03-0.51); however, VR plus physical maneuvers 29 was more effective than physical maneuvers alone for the DGI (SMD=-0.87), while there 30 were non-significant findings for dizziness symptoms. One weak study, which was not 31 included in the meta-analysis due to inadequate data, compared home VR exercise to 32 betahistine medication (a vestibular suppressant) and found VR superior for relief of 33 dizziness symptoms and quality of life. Other studies comparing VR to electrical 34 stimulation or physical maneuvers had either non-significant or mixed findings. 35

36

There were 5 studies that compared one type of VR to another. In general, there were no significant differences between VR approaches. There were significant differences on the Vertigo Symptom Scale for a home VR program plus simulator activities versus home VR alone, and for a formal program for balance and fall prevention versus a home program. Hillier and McDonald noted there were generally low drop-out rates in the studies reviewed and some studies showed gains lasting to 12 months (moderate evidence). The optimal

Page 4 of 27

dosage is unclear from the literature, but they noted that "even a minimalist approach" can
 be effective. No adverse effects were reported in any of the studies included in their review.

3

A systematic review published by Ricci et al. (2010) focused on the effectiveness of VR in 4 studies published in the previous 10 years that included subjects > 40 years old. They 5 located 4 studies with subjects >40 years of age, and 5 with subjects > 60 years of age. 6 Most studies included subjects with general diagnoses such as vestibular hypofunction with 7 subject complaints of dizziness, vertigo, or imbalance. They utilized the PEDro criteria for 8 scoring study quality. Nine studies were included, with 4 of 9 rated as "good" quality 9 (>6/11 on PEDro scale). Most interventions were based on a Cawthorne and Cooksey 10 approach originally developed in the 1940s. Control subjects generally received no 11 exercise or placebo exercise; in one study, control subjects received Tai Chi. These authors 12 reported results that generally favored VR on various outcomes when compared to no 13 treatment or placebo (6 studies) but generally no significant differences when compared to 14 an alternative treatment. There were no reports of adverse reactions to VR. 15

16

In an informal review of the literature, Herdman located two additional small randomized 17 controlled trials and one crossover study that supported the effectiveness of VR for patients 18 with dizziness complaints (Herdman, 2013). McDonnell and Hillier (2015) completed an 19 update of a Cochrane review first published in 2007 and previously updated in 2011 to 20 assess the effectiveness of vestibular rehabilitation in the adult, community-dwelling 21 population of people with symptomatic unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. Thirty-22 nine studies involving 2,441 participants with unilateral peripheral vestibular disorders 23 were included in the review. Authors concluded that there was moderate to strong evidence 24 that vestibular rehabilitation is a safe, effective management for unilateral peripheral 25 vestibular dysfunction, based on several high-quality randomized controlled trials. There 26 was moderate evidence that vestibular rehabilitation resolves symptoms and improves 27 functioning in the medium term. However, there is evidence that for the specific diagnostic 28 group of BPPV, physical (repositioning) maneuvers are more effective in the short term 29 than exercise-based vestibular rehabilitation; although a combination of the two is effective 30 for longer-term functional recovery. There was insufficient evidence to discriminate 31 between differing forms of vestibular rehabilitation. Hall et al. (2016) authored an 32 evidence-based clinical practice guideline on vestibular rehabilitation for peripheral 33 vestibular hypofunction. A systematic review of the literature was performed in 5 databases 34 published after 1985 and 5 additional sources for relevant publications were searched. 35 Article types included meta-analyses, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, 36 cohort studies, case control series, and case series for human subjects, published in English. 37 A total of 135 articles were identified as relevant to this clinical practice guideline. Based 38 on strong evidence and a preponderance of benefit over harm, clinicians should offer 39 vestibular rehabilitation to persons with unilateral and bilateral vestibular hypofunction 40 with impairments and functional limitations related to the vestibular deficit. Based on 41 strong evidence and a preponderance of harm over benefit, clinicians should not include 42

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 5 of 27

voluntary saccadic or smooth-pursuit eye movements in isolation (i.e., without head 1 movement) as specific exercises for gaze stability. Based on moderate evidence, clinicians 2 may offer specific exercise techniques to target identified impairments or functional 3 limitations. Based on moderate evidence and in consideration of patient preference, 4 clinicians may provide supervised vestibular rehabilitation. As a general guide, persons 5 without significant comorbidities that affect mobility and with acute or subacute unilateral 6 vestibular hypofunction may need once a week supervised sessions for 2 to 3 weeks; 7 persons with chronic unilateral vestibular hypofunction may need once-a-week sessions 8 for 4 to 6 weeks; and persons with bilateral vestibular hypofunction may need once-a-week 9 sessions for 8 to 12 weeks. In addition to supervised sessions, patients are to be provided a 10 daily home exercise program. 11

12

Arnold et al. (2017) compared the effectiveness of vestibular rehabilitation interventions 13 (adaptation, substitution, and habituation) in people with unilateral peripheral vestibular 14 hypofunction, exclusionary of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo and Meniere's disease. 15 Seven papers were selected for inclusion. Results suggest that vestibular therapy for 16 unilateral peripheral vestibular hypofunction is effective. When considering all 7 studies, 17 it was difficult to determine the superiority of one intervention over another in treating 18 unilateral peripheral vestibular hypofunction except when patient outcomes are captured 19 by the dynamic gait index or dizziness handicap inventory. Maslovara et al. (2019) 20 compared the impact of VR in patients with chronic unilateral vestibular hypofunction 21 (UVH) and bilateral vestibular hypofunction (BVH). Authors concluded that well-planned 22 and individually adjusted system of vestibular exercises leads to a significant decrease in 23 clinical symptoms and improvement of functioning and confidence in activities in both the 24 chronic UVH and the BVH patient. 25

26

Tramontano et al. (2021) critically assessed the effectiveness of VR administered either 27 alone or in combination with other neurorehabilitation strategies in patients with neurologic 28 disorders. All clinical studies carried out on adult patients with a diagnosis of neurologic 29 disorders who performed VR provided alone or in combination with other therapies were 30 included. Twelve studies were included in the review. All the included studies, with 1 31 exception, report that improvements provided by customized VR in subject affected by a 32 central nervous system diseases are greater than traditional rehabilitation programs alone. 33 Authors concluded that because of the lack of high-quality studies and heterogeneity of 34 treatments protocols, clinical practice recommendations on the efficacy of VR cannot be 35 made. Results show that VR programs are safe and could easily be implemented with 36 standard neurorehabilitation protocols in patients affected by neurologic disorders. Hence, 37 more high-quality randomized controlled trials of VR in patients with neurologic disorders 38 are needed. 39

40

Hall et al. (2022) authored a revision of the 2016 guidelines published by the American
 Physical Therapy Association and the Academy of Neurologic Physical Therapy and

Page 6 of 27

involved a systematic review of the literature published since 2015 through June 2020 1 across 6 databases. Article types included meta-analyses, systematic reviews, randomized 2 controlled trials, cohort studies, case-control series, and case series for human subjects, 3 published in English. Sixty-seven articles were identified as relevant to this clinical practice 4 guideline and critically appraised for level of evidence. The purpose of this revised clinical 5 practice guideline is to improve quality of care and outcomes for individuals with acute, 6 subacute, and chronic unilateral and bilateral vestibular hypofunction by providing 7 evidence-based recommendations regarding appropriate exercises. The following are 8 reported: 9 Based on strong evidence, clinicians should offer vestibular rehabilitation to adults • 10 with unilateral and bilateral vestibular hypofunction who present with impairments, 11 activity limitations, and participation restrictions related to the vestibular deficit. 12 • Based on strong evidence and a preponderance of harm over benefit, clinicians 13 should not include voluntary saccadic or smooth-pursuit eye movements in 14 isolation (i.e., without head movement) to promote gaze stability. 15 • Based on moderate to strong evidence, clinicians may offer specific exercise 16 techniques to target identified activity limitations and participation restrictions, 17 including virtual reality or augmented sensory feedback. 18 Based on strong evidence and in consideration of patient preference, clinicians • 19 should offer supervised vestibular rehabilitation. 20 Based on moderate to weak evidence, clinicians may prescribe weekly clinic visits 21 plus a home exercise program of gaze stabilization exercises consisting of a 22 minimum of: (a) 3 times per day for a total of at least 12 minutes daily for 23 individuals with acute/subacute unilateral vestibular hypofunction; (b) 3 to 5 times 24 per day for a total of at least 20 minutes daily for 4 to 6 weeks for individuals with 25 chronic unilateral vestibular hypofunction; (c) 3 to 5 times per day for a total of 20 26 to 40 minutes daily for approximately 5 to 7 weeks for individuals with bilateral 27 vestibular hypofunction. 28 Based on moderate evidence, clinicians may prescribe static and dynamic balance • 29 exercises for a minimum of 20 minutes daily for at least 4 to 6 weeks for individuals 30 with chronic unilateral vestibular hypofunction and based on expert opinion, for a 31 minimum of 6 to 9 weeks for individuals with bilateral vestibular hypofunction. 32 Based on moderate evidence, clinicians may use achievement of primary goals, • 33 resolution of symptoms, normalized balance and vestibular function, or plateau in 34 progress as reasons for stopping therapy. 35 Based on moderate to strong evidence, clinicians may evaluate factors, including • 36 time from onset of symptoms, comorbidities, cognitive function, and use of 37 medication that could modify rehabilitation outcomes. 38 39 In summary, recent evidence supports the original recommendations from the 2016 40 guidelines. There is strong evidence that vestibular physical therapy provides a clear and 41 substantial benefit to individuals with unilateral and bilateral vestibular hypofunction. 42

Page 7 of 27

Limitations of this guideline includes that the focus of the guideline was on peripheral 1 vestibular hypofunction; thus, the recommendations of the guideline may not apply to 2 individuals with central vestibular disorders. One criterion for study inclusion was that 3 vestibular hypofunction was determined based on objective vestibular function tests. This 4 guideline may not apply to individuals who report symptoms of dizziness, imbalance, 5 and/or oscillopsia without a diagnosis of vestibular hypofunction. These recommendations 6 are intended as a guide to optimize rehabilitation outcomes for individuals undergoing 7 vestibular physical therapy. 8

9

Rezaeian et al. (2023) aimed to investigate the effect of vestibular rehabilitation (VR) 10 versus control/other interventions on the quality of life in patients with Meniere's disease 11 (MD) in a systematic review and meta-analysis. Overall, 3 studies with a total of 465 12 patients were included in the meta-analysis. Authors concluded that VR could improve the 13 quality of life in patients with MD immediately after treatment. Since all the included 14 studies had a high risk of bias and none had long-term follow-ups, further high-quality 15 research is required to determine the short-, intermediate-, and long-term effects of VR 16 compared to control/other interventions. 17

18

Meng et al. (2023) aimed to evaluate the effects of vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) 19 in addition to usual rehabilitation compared with usual rehabilitation on improving balance 20 and gait for patients after stroke in a systematic review. Fifteen randomized controlled trials 21 with 769 participants were included. VRT was effective in improving balance for patients 22 after stroke, particularly for patients after stroke that occurred within 6 months with 23 moderate certainty of evidence. Subgroup analysis showed that VRT provided as gaze 24 stability exercises combined with swivel chair training and head movements could 25 significantly improve balance. Four-week VRT had better effect on balance improvement 26 than the less than 4-week VRT. The pooled mean difference of values of Timed Up-and-27 Go test showed that VRT could significantly improve gait function for patients after stroke, 28 particularly for patients after stroke that occurred within 6 months with moderate certainty 29 of evidence. Authors concluded that there is moderate certainty of evidence supporting the 30 positive effect of VRT in improving balance and gait of patients after stroke. 31

Kamo et al. (2023) investigated the effect of early vestibular rehabilitation on physical 32 function and dizziness in patients with acute vestibular disorders. The inclusion criteria in 33 terms of the study participants were patients 20 years and older with an acute unilateral 34 peripheral vestibular disorder. Early vestibular rehabilitation was defined as rehabilitation 35 within 14 days of vestibular disorder onset or surgery. Main outcome measures were gait, 36 balance (eyes open, eyes closed), activities of daily living, dizziness, and vestibular 37 function. Twelve trials involving 542 participants were included. Early vestibular 38 rehabilitation improved the Dizziness Handicap Inventory by -7.18, and dizziness by -1.47 39 compared with no intervention or placebo. Authors concluded that this study demonstrated 40 that early vestibular rehabilitation improved the Dizziness Handicap Inventory, balance 41

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 OQC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 8 of 27

(eyes closed), and subjective dizziness in a patient with acute vestibular disorders. This
 result indicates that early vestibular rehabilitation can promote vestibular compensation.

3

Edwards and Franklin (2023) summarized vestibular rehabilitation in a StatPearls article. They note that research has indicated moderate to strong evidence to support exercisebased rehabilitation of the vestibular system as an effective treatment in populations including unilateral and bilateral vestibular hypofunction. It has shown promising research in treatments for central vestibular hypofunction.

9

Huang et al. (2024) evaluated the efficacy of vestibular rehabilitation in vestibular neuritis 10 in a systematic review and meta-analysis. This study included 12 randomized controlled 11 trials involving 536 patients with vestibular neuritis. Vestibular rehabilitation was 12 comparable with steroids in dizziness handicap inventory score at the first, sixth, and 12th 13 months; caloric lateralization at the third, sixth, and 12th months; and abnormal numbers 14 of vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials at the first, sixth, and 12th months. Patients 15 receiving a combination of rehabilitation and steroid exhibited significant improvement in 16 dizziness handicap inventory score at the first, third, and 12th months; caloric lateralization 17 at the first and third months; and numbers of vestibular-evoked myogenic potentials at the 18 first and third months than did those receiving steroids alone. Authors concluded that 19 vestibular rehabilitation is recommended for patients with vestibular neuritis. A 20 combination of vestibular rehabilitation and steroids is more effective than steroids alone 21 in the treatment of patients with vestibular neuritis. 22

23

do Amaral et al. (2024) summarized the evidence on the effectiveness of vestibular 24 rehabilitation on postural balance in patients with Parkinson's disease in a systematic 25 review. From the 485 studies found in the searches, only 3 studies were deemed eligible 26 for the systematic review involving a total of 130 participants. The Berg Balance Scale was 27 described as the tool for evaluation of postural balance in all studies. The meta-analysis 28 showed statistically significant results in favor of vestibular rehabilitation, regardless of 29 the stage of Parkinson's disease. Although the effect size was suggested as a useful 30 functional gain, the analysis was done with caution, as it only included 3 randomized 31 controlled trials. The risk of bias was considered as being of "some concern" in all studies. 32 Furthermore, the quality of the evidence based on the Grading of Recommendations 33 Assessment Development and Evaluation system, produced by pooling the included 34 studies was considered very low. Authors concluded that compared to other interventions, 35 vestibular rehabilitation has potential to assist the postural balance of patients with 36 Parkinson's disease. However, the very low quality of the evidence demonstrates 37 uncertainty about the impact of this clinical practice. More robust studies are needed to 38 confirm the benefits of this therapy in patients with Parkinson's disease. 39

40

Agger-Nielson et al. (2024) investigated the impact of early vestibular rehabilitation training combined with corticosteroids initiated within 2 weeks, compared with

Page 9 of 27

corticosteroid treatment, after the peripheral acute vestibular syndrome (pAVS) onset. Five 1 studies involving 235 patients were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis. 2 The subjective outcome measure Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) was pooled for a 3 meta-analysis and was statistically significantly in favor of early vestibular rehabilitation 4 training (early VRT) plus corticosteroids compared with corticosteroids alone: at one-5 month follow-up and 12 months follow-up. DHI was a critical outcome for measuring the 6 differences in effect of early VRT. This meta-analysis showed that early VRT in 7 combination with corticosteroids was more effective for treating pAVS than corticosteroid 8 treatment alone. No adverse effects were reported for early VRT. 9

10

Reynard et al. (2024) published recommendations to establish guidelines for vestibular 11 rehabilitation (VR) in children with vestibular impairment. The guidelines were developed 12 based on a systematic review of the international literature, validated by a multidisciplinary 13 group of French-speaking otorhinolaryngologists, scientists, and physiotherapists. It is 14 recommended that a vestibular assessment be carried out before VR, including a study of 15 vestibulo-ocular reflex, otolithic function, and postural control. In cases of vestibular 16 dysfunction, physiotherapy treatment is recommended from an early age to train different 17 aspects of postural control, including anticipatory and reactive postural adjustments. VR 18 adapted to the pediatric population is recommended for children whose vestibular 19 dysfunction leads to functional disorders or symptoms of vertigo for those who have 20 suffered head trauma. It is recommended that children with bilateral vestibular impairment 21 be treated using gaze stabilization exercises for adaptation and substitution. Optokinetic 22 stimulation and virtual reality are not recommended for children and young adolescents. 23

24

Yap et al. (2024) determined the effect of vestibular physical therapy on subjective and 25 objective measures of vestibular symptoms and function in people with vestibular 26 schwannoma in a systematic review. Included studies were experimental or observational 27 in design and featured patients with vestibular schwannoma who had undergone vestibular 28 physical therapy. Twenty-three studies were included. Overall, the effect of vestibular 29 physical therapy for patients with vestibular schwannoma was uncertain. Outcomes of 30 dizziness, static and dynamic balance, and vestibular function all showed very low 31 certainty on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation 32 assessment. Multimodal physical therapist interventions consistent with clinical practice 33 guidelines (e.g., gaze stability, habituation, balance training, gait training) demonstrated 34 potential for improvement in dizziness, balance, and vestibular function, respectively. 35 Results were mostly insignificant when a single modality was used. Authors concluded 36 that there may be benefit in multimodal vestibular physical therapy for people with 37 vestibular schwannoma to improve symptoms and function. More high-quality studies 38 specific to vestibular schwannoma prehabilitation and rehabilitation are needed to increase 39 the certainty in the evidence. 40

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 10 of 27

1 Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (BPPV)

Helminski et al. (2010) explains the two mechanisms that have been proposed to explain 2 BPPV. In normal vestibular function, calcite particles (otoconia) are attached to the sensory 3 membrane in the semicircular canals. They serve as weights which make hair-like sensors 4 in the canals sensitive to acceleration movements in their fluid-filled environment. In the 5 mechanism known as canalithiasis, BPPV is hypothesized to result when otoconia break 6 loose and float free in the endolymph, where their movement continues even after the head 7 has stopped moving, thereby causing vestibular symptoms. The other mechanism is termed 8 cupulolithiasis, where the calcite particles become embedded in the cupula, the gelatinous 9 membrane of the canal, causing abnormal weighting in the sensory organ. BPPV may be 10 divided into three types based on canal involvement: posterior, horizontal, and anterior 11 semicircular canal BPPV. The posterior semicircular canal is most often involved in this 12 mechanism. BPPV cases involving the horizontal semicircular canal are reportedly less 13 common and can be more difficult to treat. Anterior Canal BPPV is considered rare and 14 deemed more likely to be self-treated, or resolved, due to gravity (Gupta et al., 2019). 15

16

The first treatments for BPPV were habituation exercise, reported in the 1950s. Later, a 17 physical maneuver was advocated by Epley that uses gravity and 4 position changes 18 designed to move any loose particles through the posterior semicircular canal into the 19 vestibule, where they will not produce symptoms. The success of the Epley maneuver as a 20 treatment for BPPV has led to favoring of the canalithiasis mechanism for BPPV (Hilton 21 and Pinder, 2004). Following, a second physical maneuver known as the Semont or 22 liberatory maneuver was developed to address cases of cupulolithiasis and canalithiasis, 23 involving a rapid 180-degree movement from side-lying on the involved side to side-lying 24 on the uninvolved side to loosen any particles lodged in the cupula. Collectively, these are 25 known as particle repositioning maneuvers. There are home versions of each maneuver and 26 postural/neck range of motion restrictions may be advised for 24-48 hours following 27 treatment. 28

29

In an update of a 2004 Cochrane review by Hilton and Pinder (2014) included studies 30 published through May 2010 that included patients with a positive Dix-Hallpike test, 31 limited to randomized controlled trials studying the Epley versus no treatment, placebo, or 32 an alternative mode of treatment. Key outcomes for inclusion were incidence and severity 33 of vertigo, patient ratings of improvement, and/or a negative Dix-Hallpike test. Their 34 search yielded 22 RCTs, however 17 were excluded due to high risk of bias (mostly 35 randomization procedure and lack of blinded allocation). For the 5 studies with low risk of 36 bias, the sample sizes were generally small (36-81 total) and included patients with 37 symptoms less than 2 weeks. Four of the studies used a sham control while one study used 38 a no treatment control group. Four weeks was the longest follow-up. Meta-analysis 39 revealed a pooled odds ratio of 4.42 (2.62, 7.44) in favor of the Epley maneuver for 40 complete resolution of symptoms, and a pooled odds ratio of 6.4 (3.6, 11.3) for a negative 41 Dix-Hallpike outcome. They found widely varying estimates of natural recovery, from 15-42

Page 11 of 27

84%. Only one study reported adverse effects – inability to tolerate the Epley maneuver
 due to vomiting or pre-existing neck pain – but the adverse event rate was not reported.

3

A companion systematic review by Hunt et al. (2012) focused on adjuncts to the Epley 4 maneuver including limiting cervical movements and maintaining upright posture for 24-5 48 hours following maneuver, perhaps with a soft collar, and mastoid vibration, using a 6 mechanical device attached to a headband. They included randomized controlled trials 7 involving patients with confirmed BPPV. They located 11 randomized controlled trials that 8 met their inclusion criteria; nine investigated postural restrictions and 2 studies involved 9 oscillation to mastoid during the Epley maneuver. Sample sizes varied from 38-106, and 10 follow-up was typically 1 week, although a few studies had a longer follow-up period. 11 They found that the addition of postural restrictions yielded significantly better results for 12 conversion of the Dix-Hallpike test with a risk ratio = 1.13 (1.05, 1.22). Adverse events 13 were tracked in 5 studies; neck stiffness was more common in the intervention group (27% 14 versus none in one study); development of horizontal BPPV, transient nausea and 15 disequilibrium also occurred rarely but not more common in the experimental versus the 16 control group. The Epley maneuver plus mastoid oscillation was compared to the Epley 17 maneuver alone in 2 studies; there were no significant differences in conversion of Dix-18 Hallpike or in the intensity of symptoms. 19

20

Helminski et al. (2010) performed a systematic review to determine the effectiveness of 21 particle positioning maneuvers, including the Epley or the Semont (liberatory) method, to 22 treat BPPV. Their search included RCTs or quasi randomized controlled trials published 23 through 2009. Randomized controlled trials provided strong evidence that the canalith 24 repositioning procedure (CRP) resolves posterior canal (PC) benign paroxysmal positional 25 nystagmus (BPPN); whereas quasi-RCTs suggested that the CRP or the liberatory 26 maneuver performed by a health care practitioner, or with proper instruction at home by 27 the patient, resolves PC BPPN. Their preferred measure of success was the conversion 28 from a positive to a negative Dix-Hallpike test since vertigo symptoms are dependent on 29 activity levels. Their search yielded 10 studies total: 30

- There were 2 true RCTs and 2 quasi-randomized controlled trials that all found the
 Epley maneuver superior (67-95% success) to a sham intervention (10-38% success). In the 2 true RCTs the odds of resolution of the Dix-Hallpike test were
 22-37 times higher for the treatment group.
- There were 2 quasi-RCTs that compared the Semont (liberatory) maneuver to no treatment that favored the experimental group with 80-85% success versus 35-38% in controls, with an odds ratio of 7-10.
- There were 2 quasi-RCTs that compared the Semont (liberatory) maneuver to the Epley maneuver but found no difference overall.
- There were 3 quasi-RCTs that looked at the effectiveness of self-treatment using a particle repositioning maneuver with or without an in-clinic treatment. They found 90-95% success overall, with 58% for liberatory and 24% for VR exercise only.

Page 12 of 27

2 3

1

The odds ratio was 3.5 for Epley + self-administered versus Epley alone. Self-treatment using the Epley maneuver was more effective than using the self-liberatory maneuver (odds ratio = 12.5).

4

Clinical practice guidelines by the American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and 5 Neck Surgery Foundation (Bhattacharyya et al., 2008) strongly supported use of the Dix-6 Hallpike test for diagnosis and canalith repositioning maneuvers for treatment of posterior 7 canal BPPV. Wegner et al. (2014) evaluated the effectiveness the Epley maneuver 8 compared to vestibular rehabilitation for BPPV. Only 5 of 373 relevant articles satisfied 9 the eligibility criteria. Results demonstrated that the Epley maneuver is more effective in 10 treating BPPV than vestibular rehabilitation at 1-week follow-up. There is inconsistent 11 evidence for the effectiveness of the Epley maneuver compared with vestibular 12 rehabilitation at 1-month follow-up. An update of the Cochrane Review (Hilton and Pinder, 13 2014) concluded that there is evidence that the Epley maneuver is a safe, effective 14 treatment for posterior canal BPPV, based on the results of 11, mostly small, randomized 15 controlled trials with relatively short follow-up. There is a high recurrence rate of BPPV 16 after treatment (36%). Outcomes for Epley maneuver treatment are comparable to 17 treatment with Semont and Gans maneuvers, but superior to Brandt-Daroff exercises. 18 Adverse effects were infrequently reported. There were no serious adverse effects of 19 treatment. Rates of nausea during the repositioning maneuver varied from 16.7% to 32%. 20 Some patients were unable to tolerate the maneuver because of cervical spine problems. 21 Oh et al. (2017) compared the efficacy between repetition of Epley maneuver and switch 22 to alternate Semont maneuver in treating posterior canal benign paroxysmal positional 23 vertigo (PC-BPPV) that does not respond to the initial Epley maneuver. 144 (28.5%) 24 patients, who did not respond to the therapy, were randomized to the repetition of Epley 25 maneuver (n = 70) or switch to Semont maneuver (n = 74). The therapeutic efficacy was 26 determined within 1 hour by a blinded examiner after the trial of each second maneuver. 27 The efficacy did not differ between the repetition of Epley maneuver and switch to Semont 28 maneuver groups. However, the patients with a long duration (p < 0.001, linear regression) 29 and latency (p = 0.01) of the positional nystagmus during Dix-Hallpike maneuver showed 30 a higher rate of the initial and second treatment failures. Either Epley or Semont maneuver 31 may be applied as a second treatment to the patients with PC-BPPV refractory to the initial 32 Epley maneuver. This study provides Class I evidence that repeated Epley and switch to 33 Semont maneuver shows a similar efficacy in treating PC-BPPV that does not respond to 34 the initial Epley maneuver. 35

36

Bhattacharyya et al. (2017) updated the clinical practice guideline. Changes from the prior guideline include a consumer advocate added to the update group; new evidence from 2 clinical practice guidelines, 20 systematic reviews, and 27 randomized controlled trials; enhanced emphasis on patient education and shared decision making; a new algorithm to clarify action statement relationships; and new and expanded recommendations for the diagnosis and management of BPPV. The primary purposes of this guideline were to

Page 13 of 27

improve the quality of care and outcomes for BPPV by improving the accurate and efficient 1 diagnosis of BPPV, reducing the inappropriate use of vestibular suppressant medications, 2 decreasing the inappropriate use of ancillary testing such as radiographic imaging, and 3 increasing the use of appropriate therapeutic repositioning maneuvers. The primary 4 outcome considered in this guideline was the resolution of the symptoms associated with 5 BPPV. Secondary outcomes considered included an increased rate of accurate diagnoses 6 of BPPV, a more efficient return to regular activities and work, decreased use of 7 inappropriate medications and unnecessary diagnostic tests, reduction in recurrence of 8 BPPV, and reduction in adverse events associated with undiagnosed or untreated BPPV. 9 The update group made strong recommendations that clinicians should diagnose posterior 10 semicircular canal BPPV when vertigo associated with torsional, upbeating nystagmus is 11 provoked by the Dix-Hallpike maneuver, and treat, or refer to a clinician who can treat, 12 patients with posterior canal BPPV with a canalith repositioning procedure. The update 13 group made a strong recommendation against postprocedural postural restrictions after 14 canalith repositioning procedure for posterior canal BPPV. The update group also made 15 recommendations that the clinician should (1) perform, or refer to a clinician who can 16 perform, a supine roll test to assess for lateral semicircular canal BPPV if the patient has a 17 history compatible with BPPV and the Dix-Hallpike test exhibits horizontal or no 18 nystagmus; (2) differentiate, or refer to a clinician who can differentiate, BPPV from other 19 causes of imbalance, dizziness, and vertigo; (3) assess patients with BPPV for factors that 20 modify management, including impaired mobility or balance, central nervous system 21 disorders, a lack of home support, and/or increased risk for falling; (4) reassess patients 22 within 1 month after an initial period of observation or treatment to document resolution 23 or persistence of symptoms; (5) evaluate, or refer to a clinician who can evaluate, patients 24 with persistent symptoms for unresolved BPPV and/or underlying peripheral vestibular or 25 central nervous system disorders; and (6) educate patients regarding the impact of BPPV 26 on their safety, the potential for disease recurrence, and the importance of follow-up. The 27 update group made recommendations against (1) radiographic imaging for a patient who 28 meets diagnostic criteria for BPPV in the absence of additional signs and/or symptoms 29 inconsistent with BPPV that warrant imaging, (2) vestibular testing for a patient who meets 30 diagnostic criteria for BPPV in the absence of additional vestibular signs and/or symptoms 31 inconsistent with BPPV that warrant testing, and (3) routinely treating BPPV with 32 vestibular suppressant medications such as antihistamines and/or benzodiazepines. The 33 guideline update group provided the options that clinicians may offer observation with 34 follow-up as initial management for patients with BPPV and vestibular rehabilitation, 35 either self-administered or with a clinician, in the treatment of BPPV. 36

37

Rodrigues et al. (2019) evaluated the additional effects of vestibular rehabilitation exercises as a therapeutic resource in the treatment of BPPV, to improve symptoms and reduce recurrence. Thirty-two individuals, both men and women, over 18 years of age with BPPV were randomly assigned to two groups: the control group (n=15) performing only the maneuver technique as treatment and the experimental group (n=17) performing the

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 14 of 27

maneuvers and vestibular rehabilitation exercises. Results demonstrated that the 1 experimental group had a lower level of dizziness in the posttreatment period (p < 0.05) and 2 a lower incidence of recurrences (p=0.038) than the control group. Authors concluded that 3 vestibular exercises performed after repositioning treatments for BPPV increased the 4 overall efficacy of treatment by improving symptoms with a lower rate of recurrence. 5 Power et al. (2020) outlined the incidence of BPPV in specialized vestibular physiotherapy 6 clinics and discusses the various nuances encountered during assessment and treatment of 7 BPPV. Interventions included canalith repositioning maneuvers (CRP) for posterior canal 8 (PC) or horizontal canal (HC) BPPV depending on the canal and variant of BPPV. 9 Outcome measures included negative Dix-Hallpike or supine roll test examination. Results 10 indicated that in 91% of cases, PC BPPV was effectively treated in 2 maneuvers or less. 11 Similarly, 88% of HC BPPV presentations were effectively managed with 2 treatments. 12 Bilateral PC, multiple canal or canal conversions required a greater number of treatments. 13 There was no noticeable difference in treatment outcomes for patients who had nystagmus 14 and symptoms during the Epley maneuver (EM) versus those who did not have nystagmus 15 and symptoms throughout the EM. Nineteen percent of patients experienced post treatment 16 down-beating nystagmus and vertigo or "otolithic crisis" after the first or even the second 17 consecutive EM. Authors concluded that based on the data collected, repeated testing and 18 treatment of BPPV within the same session is promoted as a safe and effective approach to 19 the management of BPPV with a low risk of canal conversion. Secondly, vertigo and 20 nystagmus throughout the EM is not indicative of treatment success. Thirdly, clinicians 21 must remain vigilant and mindful of the possibility of post treatment otolithic crisis 22 following the treatment of BPPV. This is to ensure patient safety and to prevent possible 23 injurious falls. 24

25

Li et al. (2022) compared the efficacy of different treatments for posterior semicircular 26 canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (PC-BPPV) by using direct and indirect 27 evidence from existing randomized data. A total of 41 parallel, randomized controlled 28 studies were included. The Epley with vestibular rehabilitation (EVR), Epley, Semont and 29 Hybrid maneuvers were effective in eliminating nystagmus during a Dix-Hallpike test at 1 30 week of follow-up, among which EVR showed the best efficacy. However, at 1 month of 31 follow-up, only the Semont and Epley maneuvers were effective in eliminating nystagmus 32 during a Dix-Hallpike test. In the pairwise subgroup meta-analysis, for patients younger 33 than 55 years of age, the efficacy of the Epley maneuver was comparable to that of the 34 Semont maneuver; for patients with a longer duration before treatment, the effect of the 35 Epley maneuver was equivalent to that of a sham maneuver. Authors concluded that among 36 the 12 types of PC-BPPV treatments, the Epley, Semont, EVR, and Hybrid maneuvers 37 were effective in eliminating nystagmus during a Dix-Hallpike test for PC-BPPV at 1 week 38 of follow-up, whereas only the Epley and Semont maneuvers were effective at 1 month of 39 follow-up. 40

Page 15 of 27

Pauwels et al. (2023) assessed the influence of BPPV and treatment effects of particle repositioning maneuvers (PRM) on gait, falls, and fear of falling. Twenty of the 25 included studies were suitable for meta-analysis. BPPV increases the odds of falls and negatively impacts spatiotemporal parameters of gait. PRM improves falls, fear of falling, and gait during level walking. Additional rehabilitation might be necessary to improve gait while walking with head movements or tandem walking.

7

Alashram (2024a) examined the effects of Brandt-Daroff exercises (BDEs) on individuals 8 with posterior canal Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (BPPV) and provided 9 recommendations for future research on this topic. In total, ten randomized controlled trials 10 met our eligibility criteria. A total of 880 individuals with BPPV (63.6% females) were 11 included in this review. The available literature showed that BDEs do not significantly 12 reduce symptoms or promote recovery in people with posterior canal BPPV compared to 13 other interventions, such as Epley and Semont maneuvers. This author concluded that the 14 evidence for the effects of BDEs on patients with BPPV is limited. Further high-quality 15 studies with long-term follow-ups are strongly required to investigate the long-term effects 16 of BDEs in posterior canal BPPV, define the optimal application of BDEs, and identify the 17 factors associated with treatment response and recovery. 18

19

Valsted et al. (2024) reported the effectiveness of Epley maneuver compared to other 20 manual repositioning maneuvers (RM) for treatment of posterior benign paroxysmal 21 positional vertigo (P-BPPV). Primary outcomes focused on complete resolution of 22 vertiginous symptoms measured by either a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) or the Dix-23 Hallpike (DH) test. Secondary outcomes included conversion of a positive DH test to a 24 negative DH test exclusively looking at positional nystagmus and assessment of side 25 effects (cervical/back pain, posttreatment dizziness, and nausea). Both outcomes were 26 assessed within a maximum of 4-week follow-up. Following systematic search and review, 27 nine randomized controlled trials were found. The studies reported on the effectiveness of 28 the Epley maneuver compared to three other specific RM: Semont, Li, and Gans 29 maneuvers. Results revealed a low to very low certainty of evidence. With the primary 30 outcomes, Epley maneuver was superior to Gans maneuver 24-hr posttreatment but not 31 after 1 week. No significant differences were found between the remaining maneuvers. In 32 summary, evidence of low to very low certainty indicates that Epley maneuver is 33 comparable with Semont, Gans, and Li maneuvers for vertiginous symptoms in patients 34 with P-BPPV. Further high-quality studies are needed. 35

36

Alashram (2024b) examined the effects of the Semont maneuver on posterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV). In total, 18 randomized controlled trials met the eligibility criteria. A total of 2237 participants with BPPV (mean age = 58.10 years) were included in this review. Among them, 37.5% were males, and 58% presented with rightsided BPPV. The included studies ranked from 5 to 9 out of 10 (Median = 7), suggesting good to excellent quality on the PEDro scale. The available literature revealed that the

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 16 of 27

Semont maneuver is effective in improving posterior canal BPPV symptoms. The Semont maneuver is considered a standard option for treating posterior canal BPPV, with a high success rate of around 80%. It is suggested as the primary option for managing posterior canal BPPV in individuals who complain of cervical or lumbar problems, severe cardiac or respiratory conditions. Further studies are strongly needed to understand the long-term effects of the Semont maneuver and to identify the recurrence rate.

7

Alashram (2024c) investigated the effects of the Gufoni maneuver on horizontal 8 semicircular canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (HC-BPPV). Ten randomized 9 controlled trials were included in this review with a total of 1025 HC-BPPV patients (mean 10 age = 58.58 years; 63% female; 55% right-side HC-HBPPV; 49% geotropic HC-BPPV). 11 The included RCTs ranged from 4 to 9 out of 10 (median = 6.5) on the PEDro scale. The 12 included studies showed that the Gufoni maneuver revealed efficacy when compared to the 13 sham maneuver but not when compared to other maneuvers such as the Barbecue roll 14 maneuver, the Appiani maneuver, the Mastoid oscillation, the head shaking, and the 15 modified Gufoni maneuver. This author concluded that the Gufoni maneuver can be 16 considered an option for treating patients with geotropic or apogeotropic HC-BPPV. 17 Precise diagnosis of the BPPV, the subtype of HC-BPPV, symptom duration, history of 18 previous BPPV attacks, the applied methods of maneuver and the proficiency of the 19 clinician performing the maneuver, proper diagnosis, presence of any underlying health 20 conditions are critical for successful treatment. Further studies are strongly warranted. 21

22

23 Concussion

Murray et al. (2017) systematically evaluated the evidence supporting the efficacy, 24 prescription, and progression patterns of (VRT in patients with concussion. Following a 25 double review of abstract and full-text articles, 10 studies met the inclusion criteria: 26 randomized controlled trial (n=2), uncontrolled studies (n=3) and case studies (n=5). 4 27 studies evaluated VRT as a single intervention. 6 studies incorporated VRT in multimodal 28 interventions (including manual therapy, strength training, occupational tasks, counselling, 29 or medication). 9 studies reported improvement in outcomes but level I evidence from only 30 1 study was found that demonstrated increased rates of medical clearance for return to sport 31 within 8 weeks, when VRT (combined with cervical therapy) was compared with usual 32 care. Heterogeneity in study type and outcomes precluded meta-analysis. Habituation and 33 adaptation exercises were employed in 8 studies and balance exercises in 9 studies. Authors 34 concluded that the current evidence for optimal prescription and efficacy of VRT in 35 patients with mTBI/concussion is limited. Available evidence, although weak, shows 36 promise in this population. Further high-level studies evaluating the effects of VRT in 37 patients with mTBI/concussion with vestibular and/or balance dysfunction are required. 38

39

Park et al. (2018) investigated whether VRT, rather than continued prescription of rest
(cognitive and physical), reduce recovery time and persistent symptoms of dizziness,
unsteadiness, and imbalance in adolescents (12-18 y) who suffer post-concussive

Page 17 of 27

syndrome following a sports-related concussion. Authors noted that VRT was an effective 1 intervention for this population. Adolescents presenting with this cluster of symptoms may 2 also demonstrate verbal and visual memory loss linked to changes in the vestibular system 3 post-concussion. Authors concluded that moderate evidence supports that adolescents who 4 suffer from persistent symptoms of dizziness, unsteadiness, and imbalance following sport 5 concussion should be evaluated more specifically and earlier for vestibular dysfunction and 6 can benefit from participation in individualized VRT. Early evaluation and treatment may 7 result in a reduction of time lost from sport as well as a return to their premorbid condition. 8 For these adolescents, VRT may be more beneficial than continued physical and cognitive 9 rest when an adolescent's symptoms last longer than 30 days. Storey et al. (2018) sought 10 to determine whether active vestibular rehabilitation is associated with an improvement in 11 visuovestibular signs and symptoms in children with concussion. One hundred nine 12 children were included in the study with a mean age of 11.8 (3.4) years. Among this group, 13 59 (54%) were male and 48 (44%) had a sports-related concussion. Authors concluded that 14 vestibular rehabilitation in children with concussion is associated with improvement in 15 symptoms as well as visuovestibular performance. This active intervention may benefit 16 children with persistent symptoms after concussion. Future prospective studies are needed 17 to determine the efficacy and optimal postinjury timing of vestibular rehabilitation. 18 Schlemmer and Nicholson (2022) synthesized the best available evidence regarding the 19 effectiveness of VRT as a treatment option for adults with mTBIs. Five studies were 20 included in the systematic review: 1 randomized controlled trial, 2 retrospective chart 21 reviews, 1 pre-/post-intervention study, and 1 case series. Four of the 5 studies found VRT 22 to be effective at reducing post-concussion symptoms after head injury. Self-reported 23 measures were included in all studies; performance-based measures were included in four 24 out of five studies. None of the studies reported adverse effects of intervention. Authors 25 concluded that results suggest VRT is an effective treatment option for patients with 26 persistent/lingering symptoms after concussion/mTBI, as demonstrated by self-reported 27 and performance-based outcome measures. 28

29

Reid et al. (2022) investigated the effect of physical interventions (subthreshold aerobic 30 exercise, cervical, vestibular and/or oculomotor therapies) on days to recovery and 31 symptom scores in the management of concussion. Twelve trials met the inclusion criteria: 32 7 on subthreshold aerobic exercise, 1 on vestibular therapy, 1 on cervical therapy and 3 on 33 individually tailored multimodal interventions. The trials were of fair to excellent quality 34 on the PEDro scale. Eight trials were included in the quantitative analysis. Subthreshold 35 aerobic exercise had a significant small to moderate effect in improving symptom scores 36 but not in reducing days to symptom recovery in both acutely concussed individuals and 37 those with persistent symptoms. There was limited evidence for stand-alone cervical, 38 vestibular and oculomotor therapies. Concussed individuals with persistent symptoms (>2 39 weeks) were approximately 3 times more likely to have returned to sport by 8 weeks if they 40 received individually tailored, presentation-specific multimodal interventions (cervical, 41 vestibular, and oculo-motor therapy). In addition, the multimodal interventions had a 42

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 18 of 27

moderate effect in improving symptom scores when compared with control. Authors concluded that subthreshold aerobic exercise appears to lower symptom scores but not time to recovery in concussed individuals. Individually tailored multimodal interventions have a worthwhile effect in providing faster return to sport and clinical improvement, specifically in those with persistent symptoms.

6

LeMarshall et al. (2023) aimed to identify, synthesize, and assess the quality of studies 7 reporting on the effectiveness of virtual reality for the rehabilitation of vestibular and 8 balance impairments post-concussion in a scoping review. Additionally, this review aimed 9 to summarize the volume of scientific literature and identify the knowledge gaps in current 10 research pertaining to this topic. Data was charted from studies and outcomes were 11 categorized into one of three categories: balance, gait, or functional outcome measures. 12 Three RCTs, 3 quasi-experimental studies, 3 case studies, and 1 retrospective cohort study 13 were ultimately included, using a thorough eligibility criteria. All studies were inclusive of 14 different virtual reality interventions. The ten studies had a 10-year range and identified 19 15 different outcome measures. Authors concluded that findings from this review suggests 16 that virtual reality is an effective tool for the rehabilitation of vestibular and balance 17 impairments post-concussion. Current literature shows sufficient but low level of evidence, 18 and more research is necessary to develop a quantitative standard and to better understand 19 appropriate dosage of virtual reality intervention. 20

21

Schneider et al. (2023) evaluated interventions to facilitate recovery in children, 22 adolescents, and adults with a sport-related concussion (SRC). Thirteen studies met 23 inclusion (10 RCTs, 1 quasi-experimental and 2 cohort studies; 1 high-quality study, 7 24 acceptable and 5 at high risk of bias). Interventions, comparisons, timing, and outcomes 25 varied, precluding meta-analysis. For adolescents and adults with dizziness, neck pain 26 and/or headaches >10 days following concussion, individualized cervicovestibular 27 rehabilitation may decrease time to return to sport compared with rest followed by gradual 28 exertion and when compared with a subtherapeutic intervention. For adolescents with 29 vestibular symptoms/impairments, vestibular rehabilitation may decrease time to medical 30 clearance (vestibular rehab group 50.2 days compared with control 58.4). For adolescents 31 with persisting symptoms >30 days, active rehabilitation and collaborative care may 32 decrease symptoms. Authors concluded that cervicovestibular rehabilitation is 33 recommended for adolescents and adults with dizziness, neck pain and/or headaches for 34 >10 days. Vestibular rehabilitation (for adolescents with dizziness/vestibular impairments 35 >5 days) and active rehabilitation and/or collaborative care (for adolescents with persisting 36 symptoms >30 days) may be of benefit. 37

38

Aljabri et al. (2024) aimed to determine the efficacy of vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) as a treatment option for mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). Eight articles met the inclusion criteria, from which 6 randomized controlled trials were included in the metaanalysis. VRT demonstrated significant improvement in decreasing perceived dizziness at

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 19 of 27

the end of the intervention program as shown by Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) 1 scores. However, no significant reduction in DHI was evident after 2 months of follow-up. 2 Quantitative analysis also depicted significant reduction in both Vestibular/Ocular Motor 3 Screening and Post-Concussion Symptom Scale following the intervention. Finally, there 4 was no significant difference between intervention groups on Balance Error Scoring 5 System scores and return to sport/function. Authors conclude that current evidence on the 6 efficacy of VRT for mTBI is limited. This review and analysis provides evidence that 7 supports the role of VRT in improving perceived symptoms following concussion. 8 Although findings from this analysis suggest positive effects of VRT on included 9 outcomes, the low certainty of evidence limits the conclusions drawn from this study. There 10 is still a need for high-quality trials evaluating the benefit of VRT using a standardized 11 approach. 12

13

Anderson et al. (2024) examined the association between the timing of vestibular 14 rehabilitation initiation and recovery time in adolescent patients with sports-related 15 concussion (SRC). One hundred-twelve patients with SRC were referred to vestibular 16 rehabilitation at a specialty concussion clinic. Vestibular rehabilitation initiation was 17 defined as days from date of injury to date of first vestibular rehabilitation assessment. 18 Patients were dichotomized by vestibular rehabilitation initiation: EARLY (8-10 days) and 19 LATE (>10 days). Recovery time was defined as days between injury and medical 20 clearance from the clinic. Sixty (average age 15.22 ± 1.61 years; 51.7 % male) patients 21 were in the EARLY group and 52 (average age 15.37 ± 1.31 years, 28.9 % male) patients 22 were in the LATE group. There were more female patients in the LATE group and the 23 LATE group had their first clinic visit later than the EARLY group. The EARLY group 24 had shorter recovery time compared to the LATE group days. After controlling for 25 confounding variables, the LATE group had recovery times that were 1.39 times as long 26 as the EARLY group. Authors concluded that for patients with vestibular issues after SRC, 27 early vestibular rehabilitation initiation is associated with faster recovery time after SRC. 28 29

30 Persistent Postural-Perceptual Dizziness (PPPD)

Dieterich and Staab (2017) reviewed nomenclature, clinical features, possible 31 pathomechanisms, and comorbidities of functional dizziness. The prevalence of functional 32 dizziness as a primary cause of vestibular symptoms amounts to 10% in neuro-otology 33 centers. Rates of psychiatric comorbidity in patients with structural vestibular syndromes 34 are much higher with nearly 50% and with highest rates in patients with vestibular 35 migraine, vestibular paroxysmia, and Ménière's disease. Correct and early diagnosis of 36 functional dizziness, as primary cause, or secondary disorder after a structural vestibular 37 syndrome, is very important to prevent further chronification and enable adequate 38 treatment. Treatment plans that include patient education, vestibular rehabilitation, 39 cognitive and behavioral therapies, and medications substantially reduce morbidity and 40 offer the potential for sustained remission when applied systematically. 41

CPG 173 Revision 11 – S Vestibular Rehabilitation **Revised – April 17, 2025** To CQT for review 03/10/2025 CQT reviewed 03/10/2025 To QIC for review and approval 04/01/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 04/01/2025 To QOC for review and approval 04/17/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 04/17/2025 Page 20 of 27

Popkirov et al. (2018) reviewed different treatment strategies for this common functional 1 neurological disorder. Authors noted that an emerging understanding of the underlying 2 pathophysiology that considers vestibular, postural, cognitive, and emotional aspects can 3 enable patients to profit from vestibular rehabilitation, as well as cognitive-behavioral 4 therapy (CBT). Most importantly, approaches from CBT should inform and augment 5 physiotherapeutic techniques, and vestibular exercises or relaxation techniques can be 6 integrated into CBT programs. They conclude that, in PPPD and related disorders, 7 vestibular rehabilitation combined with CBT can help patients escape a cycle of 8 maladaptive balance control, recalibrate vestibular systems, and regain independence in 9 everyday life. Staab (2020) reports in an article on PPPD that the diagnosis is made by 10 identifying key symptoms in patients' histories and conducting physical examinations and 11 diagnostic testing of sufficient detail to establish PPPD as opposed to other illnesses. 12 Ongoing research is providing insights into the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying 13 PPPD and support for multimodality treatment plans incorporating specially adapted 14 vestibular rehabilitation, serotonergic medications, and cognitive-behavior therapy. Cha 15 (2021) authored an article that covered distinct causes of chronic dizziness including 16 persistent postural perceptual dizziness, mal de débarquement syndrome, motion sickness 17 and visually induced motion sickness, bilateral vestibulopathy, and persistent dizziness 18 after mild concussion. Cha states that to date, none of these disorders has a cure but are 19 considered chronic syndromes with fluctuations that are both innate and driven by 20 environmental stressors. As such, the mainstay of therapy for chronic disorders of dizziness 21 involves managing factors that exacerbate symptoms and adding vestibular rehabilitation 22 or cognitive-behavioral therapy alone or in combination, as appropriate. These therapies 23 are supplemented by serotonergic antidepressants that modulate sensory gating and reduce 24 anxiety. Besides expectation management, ruling out concurrent disorders and recognizing 25 behavioral and lifestyle factors that affect symptom severity are critical issues in reducing 26 morbidity for each disorder. 27

28

Rogers et al. (2023) summarized dizziness and its evaluation and management in an article. 29 The physical examination may include orthostatic blood pressure measurement, a full 30 cardiac and neurologic examination, assessment for nystagmus, the Dix-Hallpike 31 maneuver (for patients with triggered dizziness), and the HINTS (head-impulse, 32 nystagmus, test of skew) examination when indicated. The treatment for dizziness is 33 dependent on the etiology of the symptoms. Canalith repositioning procedures (e.g., Epley 34 maneuver) are the most helpful in treating benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. Vestibular 35 rehabilitation is helpful in treating many peripheral and central etiologies. Other etiologies 36 of dizziness require specific treatment to address the cause. Pharmacologic intervention is 37 limited because it often affects the ability of the central nervous system to compensate for 38 dizziness. 39

40

Webster et al. (2023) assessed the benefits and harms of non-pharmacological interventions
 for PPPD. Primary outcomes were: 1) improvement in vestibular symptoms 2) change in

Page 21 of 27

vestibular and 3) serious adverse events. Secondary outcomes were: 4) disease-specific 1 health-related quality of life, 5) generic health-related quality of life and 6) other adverse 2 effects. Outcomes were reported at three time points: 3 to < 6 months, 6 to ≤ 12 months 3 and > 12 months. Of the few studies identified, only one followed up with participants for 4 at least three months, therefore most were not eligible for inclusion in this review. Authors 5 concluded that further work is necessary to determine whether any non-pharmacological 6 interventions may be effective for the treatment of PPPD and to assess whether they are 7 associated with any potential harms. As this is a chronic disease, future trials should follow 8 up participants for a sufficient period of time to assess whether there is a persisting impact 9 on the severity of the disease, rather than only observing short-term effects. 10 11 PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING 12 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their 13 education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may 14 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner 15 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services. 16

17

It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a patient only if they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and expert training, it would be best practice to refer the patient to the more expert practitioner.

23

Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals, 2020).

29

Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice guideline for information.

3738 *References*

Agger-Nielsen HE, Grøndberg TS, Berg-Beckhoff G, Ovesen T. Early vestibular
 rehabilitation training of peripheral acute vestibular syndrome-a systematic review and
 meta-analysis. Front Neurol. 2024;15:1396891. Published 2024 May 30.
 doi:10.3389/fneur.2024.1396891

Page 22 of 27

1 2 3	Alashram AR. Effectiveness of brandt-daroff exercises in the treatment of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2024a;281(7):3371-3384. doi:10.1007/s00405-024-08502-6
4 5 6 7 8	Alashram AR. Semont maneuver for posterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2024b;281(8):3985-3999. doi:10.1007/s00405-024-08586-0
9 10 11 12	Alashram AR. Gufoni maneuver for treatment of horizontal canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2024c;281(9):4541-4554. doi:10.1007/s00405-024-08712-y
13 14 15 16	Aljabri A, Halawani A, Ashqar A, Alageely O, Alhazzani A. The Efficacy of Vestibular Rehabilitation Therapy for Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2024;39(2):E59-E69. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000882
17 18 19 20 21	Anderson M, McCorkle M, Hammonds K, et al. Early vestibular rehabilitation initiation is associated with faster recovery after sport related concussion. J Sci Med Sport. Published online November 7, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2024.11.001
22 23 24 25	Arnold SA, Stewart AM, Moor HM, Karl RC, Reneker JC. The Effectiveness of Vestibular Rehabilitation Interventions in Treating Unilateral Peripheral Vestibular Disorders: A Systematic Review. Physiother Res Int. 2017 Jul;22(3).
26 27 28 29	Bhattacharyya N, Baugh RF, Orvidas L, et al. Clinical practice guideline: Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2008;139(5 Suppl 4):S47-81
 30 31 32 33 	Bhattacharyya N, Gubbels SP, Schwartz SR, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (Update). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;156(3_suppl):S1-S47
34 35 36	Brodovsky JR, Vnenchak MJ. Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. Phys Ther. 2013;93(3):293-298
30 37 38	Cha YH. Chronic Dizziness. Continuum (Minneap Minn). 2021;27(2):420-446
394041	Dieterich M, Staab JP. Functional dizziness: from phobic postural vertigo and chronic subjective dizziness to persistent postural-perceptual dizziness. Curr Opin Neurol. 2017;30(1):107-113

do Amaral CMS, de Almeida SB, de Almeida RP, do Nascimento SL, Ribeiro RM, Braga-1 Neto P. Effectiveness of vestibular rehabilitation on postural balance in Parkinson's 2 disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMC 3 Neurol. 2024;24(1):161. Published 2024 May 14. doi:10.1186/s12883-024-03649-5 4 5 Edwards C, Franklin E. Vestibular Rehabilitation. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): 6 StatPearls Publishing; May 23, 2023 7 8 Gupta AK, Sharma KG, Sharma P. Effect of Epley, Semont Maneuvers and Brandt-Daroff 9 Exercise on Quality of Life in Patients with Posterior Semicircular Canal Benign 10 Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (PSCBPPV). Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 11 2019;71(1):99-103 12 13 Hall CD, Herdman SJ, Whitney SL, Cass SP, Clendaniel RA, Fife TD, Furman JM, 14 Getchius TS, Goebel JA, Shepard NT, Woodhouse SN. Vestibular Rehabilitation for 15 Peripheral Vestibular Hypofunction: An Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline: 16 From The American Physical Therapy Association Neurology Section. J Neurol Phys 17 Ther. 2016 Apr;40(2):124-55 18 19 Hall CD, Herdman SJ, Whitney SL, et al. Vestibular Rehabilitation for Peripheral 20 Vestibular Hypofunction: An Updated Clinical Practice Guideline From the Academy 21 of Neurologic Physical Therapy of the American Physical Therapy Association. J 22 Neurol Phys Ther. 2022;46(2):118-177 23 24 Helminski JO, Zee DS, Janssen I, Hain TC. Effectiveness of particle repositioning 25 maneuvers in the treatment of benign paroxysmal positional vertigo: A systematic 26 review. Phys Ther. 2010;90(5):663-678 27 28 Herdman SJ. Vestibular rehabilitation. Curr Opin Neurol. 2013;26(1):96-101 29 30 Hillier SL, McDonnell M. Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular 31 dysfunction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011;(2):CD005397. Doi(2):CD005397 32 33 Hilton M, Pinder D. The Epley (canalith repositioning) maneuver for benign paroxysmal 34 positional vertigo. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004;(2)(2):CD003162 35 36 Hilton MP, Pinder DK. The Epley (canalith repositioning) maneuver for benign 37 paroxysmal positional vertigo. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014 Dec 38 8;(12):CD003162 39

1 2 3 4	Huang HH, Chen CC, Lee HH, Chen HC, Lee TY, Tam KW, Kuan YC. Efficacy of Vestibular Rehabilitation in Vestibular Neuritis: A Systematic Review and Meta- analysis. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2024 Jan 1;103(1):38-46
5 6 7 8	Hunt WT, Zimmermann EF, Hilton MP. Modifications of the Epley (canalith repositioning) maneuver for posterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;4:CD008675
9 10 11 12	Kamo T, Ogihara H, Azami M, Momosaki R, Fushiki H. Effects of Early Vestibular Rehabilitation in Patients With Acute Vestibular Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Otol Neurotol. 2023 Oct 1;44(9):e641-e647
13 14 15 16	LeMarshall SJ, Stevens LM, Ragg NP, Barnes L, Foster J, Canetti EFD. Virtual reality- based interventions for the rehabilitation of vestibular and balance impairments post- concussion: a scoping review. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2023 Mar 3;20(1):31
17 18 19 20	Li D, Cheng D, Yang W, et al. Current Therapies in Patients With Posterior Semicircular Canal BPPV, a Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. Otol Neurotol. 2022;43(4):421-428
21 22 23	Lystad RP, Bell G, Bonnevie-Svendsen M, Carter CV. Manual therapy with and without vestibular rehabilitation for cervicogenic dizziness: A systematic review. Chiropr Man Therap. 2011;19(1):21-709X-19-21
24 25 26 27 28 20	Maslovara S, Butkovic-Soldo S, Peric M, Pajic Matic I, Sestak A. Effect of vestibular rehabilitation on recovery rate and functioning improvement in patients with chronic unilateral vestibular hypofunction and bilateral vestibular hypofunction. NeuroRehabilitation. 2019;44(1):95-102
29 30 31 32	McDonnell MN, Hillier SL. Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 Jan 13;1:CD005397
 33 34 35 36 	Meng L, Liang Q, Yuan J, Li S, Ge Y, Yang J, Tsang RCC, Wei Q. Vestibular rehabilitation therapy on balance and gait in patients after stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Med. 2023 Aug 25;21(1):322
37 38 39	Murray DA, Meldrum D, Lennon O. Can vestibular rehabilitation exercises help patients with concussion? A systematic review of efficacy, prescription and progression patterns. Br J Sports Med. 2017;51(5):442-451
40 41 42	Neuhauser HK, Lempert T. Vertigo: Epidemiologic aspects. Semin Neurol. 2009;29(5):473-481

Page 25 of 27

1 2 3	Oh SY, Kim JS, Choi KD, Park JY, Jeong SH, Lee SH, Lee HS, Yang TH, Kim HJ. Switch to Semont maneuver is no better than repetition of Epley maneuver in treating refractory BPPV. J Neurol. 2017 Sep;264(9):1892-1898
4	Tenactory B11 (1.9 Rearon, 2017 Sep,20 ((9),1092 1090
5	Park K, Ksiazek T, Olson B. Effectiveness of Vestibular Rehabilitation Therapy for
6	Treatment of Concussed Adolescents With Persistent Symptoms of Dizziness and
7 8	Imbalance. J Sport Rehabil. 2018 Sep 1;27(5):485-490
9	Pauwels S, Casters L, Lemkens N, Lemmens W, Meijer K, Meyns P, van de Berg R,
10 11	Spildooren J. Gait and Falls in Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Neurol Phys Ther. 2023 Jul 1;47(3):127-138
12	
13 14	Popkirov S, Stone J, Holle-Lee D. Treatment of Persistent Postural-Perceptual Dizziness (PPPD) and Related Disorders. Curr Treat Options Neurol. 2018 Oct 13;20(12):50
15 16	Power L, Murray K, Szmulewicz DJ. Characteristics of assessment and treatment in Benign
17 18	Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo (BPPV). J Vestib Res. 2020;30(1):55-62
19	Reid SA, Farbenblum J, McLeod S. Do physical interventions improve outcomes following
20	concussion: a systematic review and meta-analysis?. Br J Sports Med. 2022;56(5):292-
21 22	298. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-103470
22	Reynard P, Ortega-Solís J, Tronche S, Darrouzet V, Thai-Van H. Guidelines of the French
24	Society of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery (SFORL) for vestibular rehabilitation in children with vestibular dysfunction. A systematic review. Arch
25 26	Pediatr. 2024;31(4):217-223. doi:10.1016/j.arcped.2024.02.006
27 28	Rezaeian A, Abtahi H, Moradi M, Farajzadegan Z. The effect of vestibular rehabilitation
29	in Meniere's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. Eur Arch
30	Otorhinolaryngol. 2023 Sep;280(9):3967-3975
31	
32	Ricci NA, Aratani MC, Dona F, Macedo C, Caovilla HH, Gananca FF. A systematic review
33	about the effects of the vestibular rehabilitation in middle-age and older adults. Rev
34	Bras Fisioter. 2010;14(5):361-371
35	
36	Rodrigues DL, Ledesma ALL, Pires de Oliveira CA, Bahmad F Jr. Effect of Vestibular
37	Exercises Associated With Repositioning Maneuvers in Patients With Benign
38	Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Otol
39	Neurotol. 2019 Sep;40(8):e824-e829
40	Decement TO March MA Consist D. Dissister D. 1. (1997) 1. March 4. D
41	Rogers TS, Noel MA, Garcia B. Dizziness: Evaluation and Management. Am Fam
42	Physician. 2023 May;107(5):514-523. PMID: 37192077

Page 26 of 27

1 2	Schneider KJ, Critchley ML, Anderson V, Davis GA, Debert CT, Feddermann-Demont N, Gagnon I, Guskiewicz KM, Hayden KA, Herring S, Johnstone C, Makdissi M, Master
3	CL, Moser RS, Patricios JS, Register-Mihalik JK, Ronksley PE, Silverberg ND, Yeates
4	KO. Targeted interventions and their effect on recovery in children, adolescents and
5	adults who have sustained a sport-related concussion: a systematic review. Br J Sports
6	Med. 2023 Jun;57(12):771-779
7	
8	Schlemmer E, Nicholson N. Vestibular Rehabilitation Effectiveness for Adults With Mild
9	Traumatic Brain Injury/Concussion: A Mini-Systematic Review. Am J Audiol.
10	2022;31(1):228-242
11	Smith T. Didon I. Con C. Donnen I. Vestibular Neuropitis. In: Stat Decels. Traceours Island
12	Smith T, Rider J, Cen S, Borger J. Vestibular Neuronitis. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island
13	(FL): StatPearls Publishing; July 21, 2023
14	Staah ID Dersistant Destural Dersontual Dizzinasa, Samin Neural, 2020;40(1):120,127
15	Staab JP. Persistent Postural-Perceptual Dizziness. Semin Neurol. 2020;40(1):130-137
16	Storey EP, Wiebe DJ, D'Alonzo BA, Nixon-Cave K, Jackson-Coty J, Goodman AM,
17 18	Grady MF, Master CL. Vestibular Rehabilitation Is Associated With Visuovestibular
18	Improvement in Pediatric Concussion. J Neurol Phys Ther. 2018 Jul;42(3):134-141
20	Improvement in rediatile concussion. J Neuror r nys Ther. 2018 Jul,42(5):154-141
20	Tramontano M, Russo V, Spitoni GF, et al. Efficacy of Vestibular Rehabilitation in Patients
21	With Neurologic Disorders: A Systematic Review. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.
22	2021;102(7):1379-1389
24	
25	Valsted SS, Larsen AT, Callesen HE, Hougaard DD. A Comparison of the Efficacy of Four
26	Repositioning Maneuvers in the Treatment of Posterior Benign Paroxysmal Positional
27	Vertigo. Am J Audiol. 2024;33(3):1008-1022. doi:10.1044/2024_AJA-23-00177
28	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
29	Webster KE, Kamo T, Smith L, Harrington-Benton NA, Judd O, Kaski D, Maarsingh OR,
30	MacKeith S, Ray J, Van Vugt VA, Burton MJ. Non-pharmacological interventions for
31	persistent postural-perceptual dizziness (PPPD). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2023
32	Mar 13;3(3):CD015333
33	
34	Wegner I, Niesten ME, van Werkhoven CH, Grolman W. Rapid Systematic Review of the
35	Epley Maneuver versus Vestibular Rehabilitation for Benign Paroxysmal Positional
36	Vertigo. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014 May 20;151(2):201-207
37	
38	Yap J, Palmer G, Graving K, Stone S, Gane EM. Vestibular Rehabilitation: Improving
39	Symptomatic and Functional Outcomes of Persons With Vestibular Schwannoma: A
40	Systematic Review. Phys Ther. 2024;104(10):pzae085. doi:10.1093/ptj/pzae085