1Clinical Practice Guideline:Passive Physiotherapy (Therapeutic) Modalities2

3 **Date of Implementation:**

5 **Product:**

4

15

Specialty

July 16, 2009

6	
7	Related Policies:
8	
0	CPG 30: Laser Therapy (LT)
9	CPG 135: Physical Therapy Medical Policy/Guideline
10	CPG 155: Occupational Therapy Medical Policy/Guideline
11	CPG 272: Electric Stimulation for Pain, Swelling and Function
10	CPG 273: Superficial Heat and Cold
12	CPG 274: Deep Heating Modalities (Therapeutic Ultrasound and Diathermy)
13	
15	CPG 275: Mechanical Traction
14	

16 **GUIDELINES**

The American Medical Association (AMA) Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) 17 manual defines a modality as "any physical agent applied to produce therapeutic changes 18 to biologic tissue; includes but is not limited to thermal, acoustic, light, mechanical, or 19 20 electric energy." This includes but is not limited to, such therapies as electrical stimulation, diathermy, therapeutic ultrasound, superficial heat and cold, and hydrotherapy. A 21 distinguishing feature of these therapeutic modalities is that they are passive in nature, 22 requiring little or no participation on the part of the patient. They should be used as an 23 adjunct to other skilled therapeutic procedures (e.g., chiropractic manipulation, manual 24 therapy [CPT 97140], acupuncture, therapeutic exercise) in clinical practice and only for a 25 brief period in the initial stages of treatment. 26

27

American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) clinical committees have determined that the use of passive therapeutic modalities, when appropriate, is professionally recognized and have a favorable benefit:risk profile. However, much of the literature regarding passive therapeutic modality use does not provide sufficient information to establish them as clinically effective or ineffective for the management of most musculoskeletal and related conditions. There is general knowledge that passive therapeutic modalities have specific physiologic effects at the cellular and nervous system level.

35

There is some evidence to support the use of specific passive physiotherapy modalities in the treatment of specific conditions; this evidence may infer effectiveness for treatment of similar conditions. See the *Electric Stimulation for Pain, Swelling and Function* (*CPG 272 - S*), *Deep Heating Modalities* (*CPG 274 - S*); *Superficial Heat and Cold* (*CPG 273 - S*); *Mechanical Traction* (*CPG 275 - S*); and *Laser Therapy* (*LT*) (*CPG 30 - S*) clinical practice guidelines for further information and literature review.

Page 1 of 9

1 ASH peer review clinical committees recommend the following guidelines for the use of 2 passive therapeutic modalities:

- Generally used to manage the acute inflammatory response, pain, and/or muscle 3 tightness or spasm in the early stages of musculoskeletal and related condition 4 management (e.g., short term and dependent upon patient condition and 5 presentation; a few weeks). When the symptoms that prompted the use of certain 6 passive therapeutic modalities begin to subside (e.g., reduction of pain, 7 inflammation, and muscle tightness) and function improves, the medical record 8 should reflect the discontinuation of those modalities, so as to determine the 9 patient's ability to self-manage any residual symptoms. 10
- Use in the treatment of sub-acute or chronic conditions beyond the acute 11 • inflammatory response time frame requires documentation of the anticipated 12 benefit and condition-specific rationale (e.g., exacerbation, inclusion with active 13 care as an alternative for pharmacological management of chronic pain) to be 14 considered medically necessary. Passive therapeutic modalities can be appropriate 15 in these situations when they are preparatory and essential to the safe and effective 16 delivery of other skilled therapeutic procedures (e.g., chiropractic manipulation, 17 manual therapy [CPT 97140], therapeutic exercise, acupuncture) that are 18 considered medically necessary. 19
- Used as a <u>stand-alone treatment</u> is rarely therapeutic, and thus not required or indicated as the sole treatment approach to a patient's condition. Therefore, a treatment plan should <u>not</u> consist solely of passive therapeutic modalities but should also include skilled therapeutic procedures (e.g., chiropractic manipulation, manual therapy [CPT 97140], therapeutic exercise, acupuncture).
- Should be selected based on the most effective and efficient means of achieving the patient's functional goals. Seldom should a patient require more than one (1) or two
 (2) passive therapeutic modalities to the same body part during the therapy session.
 Use of more than two (2) passive therapeutic modalities on a single visit date and for a prolonged period is unusual and should be justified in the documentation for consideration of medical necessity.
- 31

32 **DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

Current literature assessing the clinical effectiveness of passive therapeutic modalities as 33 isolated treatment for acute, sub-acute, or chronic musculoskeletal and related conditions 34 is often of poor methodological quality and is insufficiently homogenous to allow for 35 pooling of results. There is a general lack of agreement in the literature regarding the 36 effectiveness of passive therapeutic modalities for musculoskeletal pain. Ongoing 37 limitations of the current body of evidence include variability in devices, dosage, and 38 treatment parameters. A wide variety of musculoskeletal conditions have been studied, and 39 studies often demonstrate poor study design or methodological flaws. There appears to be 40 a trend toward improved study design with more double blind, randomized controlled trials 41 42 using standardized outcome measures. Most of the systematic reviews in the literature

CPG 121 Revision 17 – S Passive Physiotherapy Modalities **Revised – June 19, 2025** To CQT for review 05/12/2025 CQT reviewed 05/12/2025 To QIC for review and approval 06/03/2025 QIC reviewed and approved 06/03/2025 QOC reviewed and approved 06/19/2025 Page 2 of 9

1 conclude with a call for larger, multi-center randomized controlled trials. Therefore, clearly

effective treatments are not supported at this time for the treatment of acute, sub-acute, or
 chronic symptoms by any isolated passive modality.

4

Another limitation of the current body of published evidence is the focus of the 5 investigation. Most studies are attempting to determine if the modality, by itself or in 6 combination with other therapeutic interventions, changes the short or long-term outcome 7 of the condition. This is an important question to study. However, many of the passive 8 modalities are utilized by healthcare practitioners as a means of transient management of 9 pain and other signs of acute inflammation in order to facilitate other interventions of 10 11 demonstrated effectiveness such as manipulation, mobilization, exercise, and a return to normal activity. It is possible to find that a modality does not change the eventual outcome 12 but affords a window of opportunity for a practitioner or patient to perform activities that 13 would otherwise be limited by pain, spasm, or fear-avoidance behavior. 14

15

Although there are precautions and contraindications associated with any modality and some harms were reported, the literature precludes reliable and valid estimates of the risks of major and minor harm associated with these modalities and the treatments included in the research studies reviewed are relatively benign. The majority of studies do not report side effects or injuries. Further, because the literature implies both the risks and benefits among treatment options are similar, it is reasonable that patient/practitioner preference should be an important guide in choice of treatment.

23

When determining the appropriate course of treatment for an individual patient, the practitioner must consider contraindications, the physiological effects of the modality, the likelihood of the modality to enhance recovery or facilitate treatment with manual therapies, and timely transition from passive to active treatment.

28

Overall, scientific literature addressing physical modalities for neck, back, and extremity 29 pain conditions suffers from many of the same limitations observed in the literature of other 30 non-invasive interventions. Much of the literature is still of relatively low methodological 31 quality, and the substantial heterogeneity among studies makes pooling of results 32 33 extremely difficult. Firm conclusions regarding the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of many of the physical modalities for neck, back, and extremity pain conditions remain 34 difficult. The emergence of more methodologically sound randomized clinical trials could 35 36 change what is now known.

37

Most literature on low back, neck and extremity pain conditions has recommended that patients be encouraged to remain as active as possible and avoid immobilization or complete rest/inactivity (Guzman et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2016; Qaseem et al., 2017; McDonagh et al., 2020; Chou et al., 2020; Skelly et al., 2020; Tick et al., 2018; Knezevic

et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2021; Mertens et al., 2022; French et al., 2022; Conley et al., 2023). 1 A distinguishing feature of these modalities is that they are passive in nature. 2 3 PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING 4 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their 5 education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may 6 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner 7 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services 8 and whether the services are within their scope of practice. 9 10 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if 11 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared 12 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently 13 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be 14 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner. 15 16 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or 17 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a 18 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular 19 20 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals, 2020). 21 22 Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a member's 23 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the 24 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent 25 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their 26 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as 27 appropriate. See policy *Managing Medical Emergencies* (CPG 159 - S) for information. 28 29 30 References GB. 31 Andersson Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. Lancet. 1999;354(9178):581-585. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)01312-4 32 33

- Bellew JW. Michlovitz SL, Nolan Jr TP. Michlovitz's Modalities for Therapeutic
 Intervention. 7th edition. F.A. Davis;2022
- 36
- Bergman S. Management of musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.
 2007;21(1):153-166
- 39
- 40 Bogduk N. Management of chronic low back pain. Med J Aust. 2004;180(2):79-83

4	Comment MIL Disconta in Databilitations From Descende to Desction (Charles)
1	Cameron MH. Physical Agents in Rehabilitation: From Research to Practice. (6 th ed.).
2	Elsevier – Health Sciences Division; 2022
3 4	Carey TS, Freburger JK, Holmes GM, et al. A long way to go: practice patterns and
4 5	evidence in chronic low back pain care. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009;34(7):718-724
6	evidence in chronic 10w back pain care. Spine (1 inia 1 a 1970). 2009,54(7).710-724
7	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Outpatient
8	Physical and Occupational Therapy Services (L33631). Retrieved on April 21, 2025
9	from https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-
10	details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20oc
11	cupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC
12	D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AA
13	AAAQAAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact
14	
15	Chamberlain MA, Care G, Harfield B. Physiotherapy in osteoarthrosis of the knees. A
16	controlled trial of hospital versus home exercises. Int Rehabil Med. 1982;4(2):101-
17	106. doi:10.3109/09638288209166889
18	
19	Chou R, Huffman LH; American Pain Society; American College of Physicians.
20	Nonpharmacologic therapies for acute and chronic low back pain: a review of the
21	evidence for an American Pain Society/American College of Physicians clinical
22	practice guideline [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med. 2008 Feb
23	5;148(3):247-8]. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147(7):492-504. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-
24	7-200710020-00007
25	
26	Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint
27	clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American
28	Pain Society [published correction appears in Ann Intern Med. 2008 Feb 5;148(3):247-
29 30	8]. Ann Intern Med. 2007;147(7):478-491. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-00006
30 31	00000
31	Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Low Back Pain. Rockville
32 33	(MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); February 2016
34	(IVID). Argeney for freatmente Research and Quarty (OB), February 2010
35	Chou R, Hartung D, Turner J, et al. Opioid Treatments for Chronic Pain. Rockville (MD):
36	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2020
37	
38	Conley, B., Bunzli, S., Bullen, J., O'Brien, P., Persaud, J., Gunatillake, T., Dowsey, M. M.,
39	Choong, P. F. M., & Lin, I. (2023). Core Recommendations for Osteoarthritis Care: A
40	Systematic Review of Clinical Practice Guidelines. Arthritis care & research, 75(9),
41	1897–1907. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.25101

Croft PR, Macfarlane GJ, Papageorgiou AC, Thomas E, Silman AJ. Outcome of low back 1 pain in general practice: a prospective study. BMJ. 1998;316(7141):1356-1359. 2 doi:10.1136/bmj.316.7141.1356 3 4 French HP, Abbott JH, Galvin R. Adjunctive therapies in addition to land-based exercise 5 therapy for osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 6 2022;10(10):CD011915. Published 7 2022 Oct 17. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011915.pub2 8 9 Graham N, Gross AR, Carlesso LC, et al. An ICON Overview on Physical Modalities for 10 11 Neck Pain and Associated Disorders. Open Orthop J. 2013;7:440-460. Published 2013 Sep 20. doi:10.2174/1874325001307010440 12 13 14 Guzman J, Haldeman S, Carroll LJ, et al. Clinical practice implications of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders: from 15 concepts and findings to recommendations. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2008;33(4 16 Suppl):S199-S213. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181644641 17 18 Haldeman S, Carroll L, Cassidy JD, Schubert J, Nygren A; Bone and Joint Decade 2000-19 20 2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. The Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders: executive 21 summary. Spine 2008;33(4 22 (Phila Pa 1976). Suppl):S5-S7. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181643f40 23 24 Haldeman S, Dagenais S. What have we learned about the evidence-informed management 25 of chronic low back pain?. Spine J. 2008;8(1):266-277. 26 doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2007.10.026 27 28 Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Engberg M, Lauritzen T, Bruun NH, Manniche C. The course 29 of low back pain in a general population. Results from a 5-year prospective study. J 30 Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2003;26(4):213-219. doi:10.1016/s0161-4754(03)00006-x 31 32 33 Hestback L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Manniche C. Low back pain: what is the long-term course? A review of studies of general patient populations. Eur Spine J. 2003;12(2):149-165. 34 doi:10.1007/s00586-002-0508-5 35 36 37 Huang MH, Yang RC, Lee CL, Chen TW, Wang MC. Preliminary results of integrated therapy for patients with knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;53(6):812-820. 38 39 doi:10.1002/art.21590 40 Hurwitz EL, Carragee EJ, van der Velde G, et al. Treatment of neck pain: noninvasive 41 interventions: results of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck 42

Page 6 of 9

1 2 3	Pain and Its Associated Disorders. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2009;32(2 Suppl):S141-S175. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2008.11.017
4 5 6	Jamtvedt G, Dahm KT, Christie A, et al. Physical therapy interventions for patients with osteoarthritis of the knee: an overview of systematic reviews. Phys Ther. 2008;88(1):123-136. doi:10.2522/ptj.20070043
7	Loint Commission International Joint Commission International Approximation Standards
8 9	Joint Commission International. Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources;2020
9 10	for Hospitals (7th ed.). Joint Commission Resources,2020
11	Knezevic NN, Candido KD, Vlaeyen JWS, Van Zundert J, Cohen SP. Low back pain.
12	Lancet. 2021;398(10294):78-92.
13	
14	Lin, I., Wiles, L., Waller, R., Goucke, R., Nagree, Y., Gibberd, M., Straker, L., Maher, C.
15	G., & O'Sullivan, P. P. B. (2020). What does best practice care for musculoskeletal
16	pain look like? Eleven consistent recommendations from high-quality clinical practice
17	guidelines: systematic review. British journal of sports medicine, 54(2), 79-86.
18	https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-099878
19	
20	McBeth J, Jones K. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin
21	Rheumatol. 2007;21(3):403-425. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2007.03.003
22	
23	McDonagh MS, Selph SS, Buckley DI, et al. Nonopioid Pharmacologic Treatments for
24 25	Chronic Pain. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2020
26	
27	Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. Science. 1965;150(3699):971-979.
28	doi:10.1126/science.150.3699.971
29	
30	Mercy Center Consensus Conference, (1992: Burlingame, California). Haldeman, S. (Ed.),
31	Chapman-Smith, D. (Ed.), & Peterson, D. M (Ed.). Guidelines for chiropractic quality
32	assurance and practice parameters: Proceeding of the Mercy Center Consensus
33	Conference. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publications
34	
35	Mertens MG, Meert L, Struyf F, Schwank A, Meeus M. Exercise Therapy Is Effective for
36	Improvement in Range of Motion, Function, and Pain in Patients With Frozen Shoulder A Sustaination Parian and Mate analysis. Arek Phys. Mod. Pakakil
37	Shoulder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.
38 20	2022;103(5):998-1012.e14. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2021.07.806
39 40	Michener LA, Walsworth MK, Burnet EN. Effectiveness of rehabilitation for patients with
40 41	subacromial impingement syndrome: a systematic review. J Hand Ther.
42	2004;17(2):152-164. doi:10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.004

Page 7 of 9

1	O'Connor D, Marshall S, Massy-Westropp N. Non-surgical treatment (other than steroid
2	injection) for carpal tunnel syndrome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
3	2003;2003(1):CD003219. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003219
4	
5	Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on
6 7	selected rehabilitation interventions for knee pain. Phys Ther. 2001;81(10):1675-1700
8	Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on
9	selected rehabilitation interventions for shoulder pain. Phys Ther. 2001;81(10):1719-
10	1730
11	
12	Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on
13	selected rehabilitation interventions for low back pain. Phys Ther. 2001;81(10):1641-
14	1674
15	
16	Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and
17	Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of
18	Physicians. Ann Intern Med. 2017;166(7):514-530. doi:10.7326/M16-2367
19	
20	Riddle DL, Schappert SM. Volume and characteristics of inpatient and ambulatory medical
21	care for neck pain in the United States: data from three national surveys. Spine (Phila
22	Pa 1976). 2007;32(1):132-141. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000250999.69978.24
23	
24	Skelly AC, Chou R, Dettori JR, et al. Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for
25	Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare
26	Research and Quality (US); April 2020
27	
28	Tan JC. Practical Manual of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. In. St. Louis, MO:
29	Mosby; 1998
30	
31	Tick H, Nielsen A, Pelletier KR, et al. Evidence-Based Nonpharmacologic Strategies for
32	Comprehensive Pain Care: The Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper. Explore
33	(NY). 2018;14(3):177-211. doi:10.1016/j.explore.2018.02.001
34	
35	Trudel D, Duley J, Zastrow I, Kerr EW, Davidson R, MacDermid JC. Rehabilitation for
36	patients with lateral epicondylitis: a systematic review. J Hand Ther. 2004;17(2):243-
37	266. doi:10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.011
38	
39	Tüzün EH. Quality of life in chronic musculoskeletal pain. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.
40	2007;21(3):567-579. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2007.03.001

- Waddell G. The clinical course of low back pain. In: The Back Pain Revolution. Edinburgh,
 U.K.: Churchill Livingstone, 1998:103-17
- Walsh DM. TENS: Clinical Applications and Related Theory. In. New York: Churchill
 Livingston; 1997
- Walsh NE, Brooks P, Hazes JM, et al. Standards of care for acute and chronic
 musculoskeletal pain: the Bone and Joint Decade (2000-2010). Arch Phys Med
 Rehabil. 2008;89(9):1830-1845. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2008.04.009
- 10

3

6

Whitman JM, Flynn TW, Childs JD, et al. A comparison between two physical therapy
treatment programs for patients with lumbar spinal stenosis: a randomized clinical
trial. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2006;31(22):2541-2549.
doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000241136.98159.8c