

1 **Clinical Practice Guideline:** **Passive Physiotherapy (Therapeutic) Modalities**

2
3 **Date of Implementation:** **July 16, 2009**

4
5 **Product:** **Specialty**

6
7
8 **Related Policies:**

9 CPG 30: Laser Therapy (LT)

10 CPG 135: Physical Therapy Medical Policy/Guideline

11 CPG 155: Occupational Therapy Medical Policy/Guideline

12 CPG 272: Electric Stimulation for Pain, Swelling and Function

13 CPG 273: Superficial Heat and Cold

14 CPG 274: Deep Heating Modalities (Therapeutic Ultrasound and Diathermy)

15 CPG 275: Mechanical Traction

16 **GUIDELINES**

17 The American Medical Association (AMA) Current Procedural Terminology (CPT)
18 manual defines a modality as "any physical agent applied to produce therapeutic changes
19 to biologic tissue; includes but is not limited to thermal, acoustic, light, mechanical, or
20 electric energy." This includes but is not limited to, such therapies as electrical stimulation,
21 diathermy, therapeutic ultrasound, superficial heat and cold, and hydrotherapy. A
22 distinguishing feature of these therapeutic modalities is that they are passive in nature,
23 requiring little or no participation on the part of the patient. They should be used as an
24 adjunct to other skilled therapeutic procedures (e.g., chiropractic manipulation, manual
25 therapy [CPT 97140], acupuncture, therapeutic exercise) in clinical practice and only for a
26 brief period in the initial stages of treatment.

27
28 American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) clinical committees have determined that
29 the use of passive therapeutic modalities, when appropriate, is professionally recognized
30 and have a favorable benefit:risk profile. However, much of the literature regarding passive
31 therapeutic modality use does not provide sufficient information to establish them as
32 clinically effective or ineffective for the management of most musculoskeletal and related
33 conditions. There is general knowledge that passive therapeutic modalities have specific
34 physiologic effects at the cellular and nervous system level.

35
36 There is some evidence to support the use of specific passive physiotherapy modalities in
37 the treatment of specific conditions; this evidence may infer effectiveness for treatment of
38 similar conditions. See the *Electric Stimulation for Pain, Swelling and Function (CPG*
39 *272 - S)*, *Deep Heating Modalities (CPG 274 - S)*; *Superficial Heat and Cold (CPG*
40 *273 - S)*; *Mechanical Traction (CPG 275 - S)*; and *Laser Therapy (LT) (CPG 30 - S)*
41 clinical practice guidelines for further information and literature review.

1 ASH peer review clinical committees recommend the following guidelines for the use of
2 passive therapeutic modalities:

- 3 • Generally used to manage the acute inflammatory response, pain, and/or muscle
4 tightness or spasm in the early stages of musculoskeletal and related condition
5 management (e.g., short term and dependent upon patient condition and
6 presentation; a few weeks). When the symptoms that prompted the use of certain
7 passive therapeutic modalities begin to subside (e.g., reduction of pain,
8 inflammation, and muscle tightness) and function improves, the medical record
9 should reflect the discontinuation of those modalities, so as to determine the
10 patient’s ability to self-manage any residual symptoms.
- 11 • Use in the treatment of sub-acute or chronic conditions beyond the acute
12 inflammatory response time frame requires documentation of the anticipated
13 benefit and condition-specific rationale (e.g., exacerbation, inclusion with active
14 care as an alternative for pharmacological management of chronic pain) to be
15 considered medically necessary. Passive therapeutic modalities can be appropriate
16 in these situations when they are preparatory and essential to the safe and effective
17 delivery of other skilled therapeutic procedures (e.g., chiropractic manipulation,
18 manual therapy [CPT 97140], therapeutic exercise, acupuncture) that are
19 considered medically necessary.
- 20 • Used as a stand-alone treatment is rarely therapeutic, and thus not required or
21 indicated as the sole treatment approach to a patient’s condition. Therefore, a
22 treatment plan should not consist solely of passive therapeutic modalities but
23 should also include skilled therapeutic procedures (e.g., chiropractic manipulation,
24 manual therapy [CPT 97140], therapeutic exercise, acupuncture).
- 25 • Should be selected based on the most effective and efficient means of achieving the
26 patient’s functional goals. Seldom should a patient require more than one (1) or two
27 (2) passive therapeutic modalities to the same body part during the therapy session.
28 Use of more than two (2) passive therapeutic modalities on a single visit date and
29 for a prolonged period is unusual and should be justified in the documentation for
30 consideration of medical necessity.

31 32 **DESCRIPTION/BACKGROUND**

33 Current literature assessing the clinical effectiveness of passive therapeutic modalities as
34 isolated treatment for acute, sub-acute, or chronic musculoskeletal and related conditions
35 is often of poor methodological quality and is insufficiently homogenous to allow for
36 pooling of results. There is a general lack of agreement in the literature regarding the
37 effectiveness of passive therapeutic modalities for musculoskeletal pain. Ongoing
38 limitations of the current body of evidence include variability in devices, dosage, and
39 treatment parameters. A wide variety of musculoskeletal conditions have been studied, and
40 studies often demonstrate poor study design or methodologic flaws. There appears to be a
41 trend toward improved study design with more double blind, randomized controlled trials
42 using standardized outcome measures. Most of the systematic reviews in the literature

1 conclude with a call for larger, multi-center randomized controlled trials. Therefore, clearly
2 effective treatments are not supported at this time for the treatment of acute, sub-acute, or
3 chronic symptoms by any isolated passive modality.

4
5 Another limitation of the current body of published evidence is the focus of the
6 investigation. Most studies are attempting to determine if the modality, by itself or in
7 combination with other therapeutic interventions, changes the short or long-term outcome
8 of the condition. This is an important question to study. However, many of the passive
9 modalities are utilized by healthcare practitioners as a means of transient management of
10 pain and other signs of acute inflammation in order to facilitate other interventions of
11 demonstrated effectiveness such as manipulation, mobilization, exercise, and a return to
12 normal activity. It is possible to find that a modality does not change the eventual outcome
13 but affords a window of opportunity for a practitioner or patient to perform activities that
14 would otherwise be limited by pain, spasm, or fear-avoidance behavior.

15
16 Although there are precautions and contraindications associated with any modality and
17 some harms were reported, the literature precludes reliable and valid estimates of the risks
18 of major and minor harm associated with these modalities and the treatments included in
19 the research studies reviewed are relatively benign. The majority of studies do not report
20 side effects or injuries. Further, because the literature implies both the risks and benefits
21 among treatment options are similar, it is reasonable that patient/practitioner preference
22 should be an important guide in choice of treatment.

23
24 When determining the appropriate course of treatment for an individual patient, the
25 practitioner must consider contraindications, the physiologic effects of the modality, the
26 likelihood of the modality to enhance recovery or facilitate treatment with manual
27 therapies, and timely transition from passive to active treatment.

28
29 Overall, the scientific literature addressing physical modalities for neck, back, and
30 extremity pain conditions suffers from many of the same limitations observed in the
31 literature of other non-invasive interventions. Much of the literature is still of relatively
32 low methodological quality, and the substantial heterogeneity among studies makes
33 pooling of results extremely difficult. Firm conclusions regarding the effectiveness or
34 ineffectiveness of many of the physical modalities for neck, back, and extremity pain
35 conditions remain difficult. The emergence of more methodologically sound randomized
36 clinical trials could change what is now known.

37
38 Most literature on low back, neck and extremity pain conditions has recommended that
39 patients be encouraged to remain as active as possible and avoid immobilization or
40 complete rest/inactivity (Guzman et al., 2008; Chou et al., 2016; Qaseem et al., 2017;
41 McDonagh et al., 2020; Chou et al., 2020; Skelly et al., 2020; Tick et al., 2018; Knezevic

1 et al., 2021; Mertens et al., 2022; French et al., 2022). A distinguishing feature of these
2 modalities is that they are passive in nature.

4 **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

5 Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their
6 education, training, and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may
7 vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner
8 to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services
9 and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

10
11 It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a member only if
12 they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared
13 to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently
14 delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be
15 best practice to refer the member to the more expert practitioner.

16
17 Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or
18 process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a
19 majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular
20 outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
21 for Hospitals, 2020).

22
23 Depending on the practitioner’s scope of practice, training, and experience, a member’s
24 condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the
25 need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is prudent
26 for the practitioner to refer the member for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their
27 primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as
28 appropriate. See policy *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* for information.

30 **References**

- 31 Andersson GB. Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. *Lancet*.
32 1999;354(9178):581-585. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)01312-4
33
34 Bellew JW, Michlovitz SL, Nolan Jr TP. *Michlovitz’s Modalities for Therapeutic*
35 *Intervention*. 7th edition. F.A. Davis;2022
36
37 Bergman S. Management of musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol*.
38 2007;21(1):153-166
39
40 Bogduk N. Management of chronic low back pain. *Med J Aust*. 2004;180(2):79-83

- 1 Cameron MH. Physical Agents in Rehabilitation: From Research to Practice. (6th ed.).
2 Elsevier – Health Sciences Division; 2022
3
- 4 Carey TS, Freburger JK, Holmes GM, et al. A long way to go: practice patterns and
5 evidence in chronic low back pain care. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(7):718-724
6
- 7 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Outpatient
8 Physical and Occupational Therapy Services (L33631). Retrieved on April 18,
9 2024 from [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20occupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AAAAQAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact)
10 [details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20oc-](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20occupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AAAAQAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact)
11 [cupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20occupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AAAAQAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact)
12 [D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AA](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20occupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AAAAQAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact)
13 [AAAAQAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details.aspx?lcdid=33631&ver=51&keyword=outpatient%20physical%20and%20occupational%20therapy&keywordType=starts&areaId=all&docType=NCA,CAL,NC D,MEDCAC,TA,MCD,6,3,5,1,F,P&contractOption=all&sortBy=relevance&bc=AAAAQAAAA&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact)
14
- 15 Chamberlain MA, Care G, Harfield B. Physiotherapy in osteoarthritis of the knees. A
16 controlled trial of hospital versus home exercises. *Int Rehabil Med*. 1982;4(2):101-
17 106. doi:10.3109/09638288209166889
18
- 19 Chou R, Huffman LH; American Pain Society; American College of Physicians.
20 Nonpharmacologic therapies for acute and chronic low back pain: a review of the
21 evidence for an American Pain Society/American College of Physicians clinical
22 practice guideline [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med*. 2008 Feb
23 5;148(3):247-8]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(7):492-504. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-
24 7-200710020-00007
25
- 26 Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint
27 clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American
28 Pain Society [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med*. 2008 Feb 5;148(3):247-
29 8]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(7):478-491. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-7-200710020-
30 00006
31
- 32 Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Low Back Pain. Rockville
33 (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); February 2016
34
- 35 Chou R, Hartung D, Turner J, et al. Opioid Treatments for Chronic Pain. Rockville (MD):
36 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); April 2020
37
- 38 Croft PR, Macfarlane GJ, Papageorgiou AC, Thomas E, Silman AJ. Outcome of low back
39 pain in general practice: a prospective study. *BMJ*. 1998;316(7141):1356-1359.
40 doi:10.1136/bmj.316.7141.1356

- 1 French HP, Abbott JH, Galvin R. Adjunctive therapies in addition to land-based exercise
2 therapy for osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*
3 2022;10(10):CD011915. Published 2022 Oct 17.
4 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011915.pub2
5
- 6 Graham N, Gross AR, Carlesso LC, et al. An ICON Overview on Physical Modalities for
7 Neck Pain and Associated Disorders. *Open Orthop J.* 2013;7:440-460. Published 2013
8 Sep 20. doi:10.2174/1874325001307010440
9
- 10 Guzman J, Haldeman S, Carroll LJ, et al. Clinical practice implications of the Bone and
11 Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders: from
12 concepts and findings to recommendations. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2008;33(4
13 Suppl):S199-S213. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181644641
14
- 15 Haldeman S, Carroll L, Cassidy JD, Schubert J, Nygren A; Bone and Joint Decade 2000-
16 2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. The Bone and Joint
17 Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders: executive
18 summary. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2008;33(4 Suppl):S5-S7.
19 doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181643f40
20
- 21 Haldeman S, Dagenais S. What have we learned about the evidence-informed management
22 of chronic low back pain?. *Spine J.* 2008;8(1):266-277.
23 doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2007.10.026
24
- 25 Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Engberg M, Lauritzen T, Bruun NH, Manniche C. The course
26 of low back pain in a general population. Results from a 5-year prospective study. *J*
27 *Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2003;26(4):213-219. doi:10.1016/s0161-4754(03)00006-x
28
- 29 Hestbaek L, Leboeuf-Yde C, Manniche C. Low back pain: what is the long-term course?
30 A review of studies of general patient populations. *Eur Spine J.* 2003;12(2):149-165.
31 doi:10.1007/s00586-002-0508-5
32
- 33 Huang MH, Yang RC, Lee CL, Chen TW, Wang MC. Preliminary results of integrated
34 therapy for patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005;53(6):812-820.
35 doi:10.1002/art.21590
36
- 37 Hurwitz EL, Carragee EJ, van der Velde G, et al. Treatment of neck pain: noninvasive
38 interventions: results of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck
39 Pain and Its Associated Disorders. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2009;32(2
40 Suppl):S141-S175. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2008.11.017

- 1 Jamtvedt G, Dahm KT, Christie A, et al. Physical therapy interventions for patients with
 2 osteoarthritis of the knee: an overview of systematic reviews. *Phys Ther.*
 3 2008;88(1):123-136. doi:10.2522/ptj.20070043
 4
- 5 Joint Commission International. Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards
 6 for Hospitals (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources;2020
 7
- 8 Knezevic NN, Candido KD, Vlaeyen JWS, Van Zundert J, Cohen SP. Low back pain.
 9 *Lancet.* 2021;398(10294):78-92
 10
- 11 McBeth J, Jones K. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract Res Clin*
 12 *Rheumatol.* 2007;21(3):403-425. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2007.03.003
 13
- 14 McDonagh MS, Selph SS, Buckley DI, et al. Nonopioid Pharmacologic Treatments for
 15 Chronic Pain. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US);
 16 April 2020
 17
- 18 Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. *Science.* 1965;150(3699):971-979.
 19 doi:10.1126/science.150.3699.971
 20
- 21 Mercy Center Consensus Conference, (1992: Burlingame, California). Haldeman, S. (Ed.),
 22 Chapman-Smith, D. (Ed.), & Peterson, D. M (Ed.). Guidelines for chiropractic quality
 23 assurance and practice parameters: Proceeding of the Mercy Center Consensus
 24 Conference. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen Publications
 25
- 26 Mertens MG, Meert L, Struyf F, Schwank A, Meeus M. Exercise Therapy Is Effective for
 27 Improvement in Range of Motion, Function, and Pain in Patients With Frozen
 28 Shoulder: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.*
 29 2022;103(5):998-1012.e14. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2021.07.806
 30
- 31 Michener LA, Walsworth MK, Burnet EN. Effectiveness of rehabilitation for patients with
 32 subacromial impingement syndrome: a systematic review. *J Hand Ther.*
 33 2004;17(2):152-164. doi:10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.004
 34
- 35 O'Connor D, Marshall S, Massy-Westropp N. Non-surgical treatment (other than steroid
 36 injection) for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*
 37 2003;2003(1):CD003219. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003219
 38
- 39 Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on
 40 selected rehabilitation interventions for knee pain. *Phys Ther.* 2001;81(10):1675-1700

- 1 Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on
 2 selected rehabilitation interventions for shoulder pain. *Phys Ther.* 2001;81(10):1719-
 3 1730
 4
- 5 Philadelphia Panel. Philadelphia Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on
 6 selected rehabilitation interventions for low back pain. *Phys Ther.* 2001;81(10):1641-
 7 1674
 8
- 9 Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and
 10 Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of
 11 Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(7):514-530. doi:10.7326/M16-2367
 12
- 13 Riddle DL, Schappert SM. Volume and characteristics of inpatient and ambulatory medical
 14 care for neck pain in the United States: data from three national surveys. *Spine (Phila
 15 Pa 1976).* 2007;32(1):132-141. doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000250999.69978.24
 16
- 17 Skelly AC, Chou R, Dettori JR, et al. Noninvasive Nonpharmacological Treatment for
 18 Chronic Pain: A Systematic Review Update. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare
 19 Research and Quality (US); April 2020
 20
- 21 Tan JC. *Practical Manual of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.* In. St. Louis, MO:
 22 Mosby; 1998
 23
- 24 Tick H, Nielsen A, Pelletier KR, et al. Evidence-Based Nonpharmacologic Strategies for
 25 Comprehensive Pain Care: The Consortium Pain Task Force White Paper. *Explore*
 26 (NY). 2018;14(3):177-211. doi:10.1016/j.explore.2018.02.001
 27
- 28 Trudel D, Duley J, Zastrow I, Kerr EW, Davidson R, MacDermid JC. Rehabilitation for
 29 patients with lateral epicondylitis: a systematic review. *J Hand Ther.* 2004;17(2):243-
 30 266. doi:10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.011
 31
- 32 Tüzün EH. Quality of life in chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol.*
 33 2007;21(3):567-579. doi:10.1016/j.berh.2007.03.001
 34
- 35 Waddell G. The clinical course of low back pain. In: *The Back Pain Revolution.* Edinburgh,
 36 U.K.: Churchill Livingstone, 1998:103-17
 37
- 38 Walsh DM. *TENS: Clinical Applications and Related Theory.* In. New York: Churchill
 39 Livingstone; 1997

- 1 Walsh NE, Brooks P, Hazes JM, et al. Standards of care for acute and chronic
2 musculoskeletal pain: the Bone and Joint Decade (2000-2010). Arch Phys Med
3 Rehabil. 2008;89(9):1830-1845. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2008.04.009
4
- 5 Whitman JM, Flynn TW, Childs JD, et al. A comparison between two physical therapy
6 treatment programs for patients with lumbar spinal stenosis: a randomized clinical
7 trial. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2006;31(22):2541-2549.
8 doi:10.1097/01.brs.0000241136.98159.8c